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Abstract

Following a stroke, precise, individuated control of single joints is often replaced by highly stereotyped patterns of multi-joint movement, due to a loss of independent joint control, which can negatively impact functional use of the paretic arm. Despite the debilitating nature of this impairment, the precise underlying neural mechanisms remain uncertain. Previous research has suggested that following stroke-induced damage to descending motor pathways in the lesioned hemisphere, contralesional corticobulbospinal pathways, such as the corticoreticulospinal pathway, become more heavily relied upon; however, these pathways have not been studied directly in human stroke individuals. Therefore, the goal of this work is first to determine whether structural and functional neuroanatomical correlates of the corticoreticulospinal tract are altered in chronic hemiparetic stroke when compared to healthy, age-matched controls and second, to determine whether structural and functional neural changes are directly related to loss of independent joint control in chronic stroke.

This work combines multi-modal neuroimaging with rehabilitation robotics to determine whether brain structure and function in chronic stroke support the hypothesis of increased reliance on contralesional cortico- reticulospinal pathways contributing to loss of independent joint control. Three types of MR imaging are used to assess structure (white matter and gray matter) and function in both chronic stroke and healthy control individuals.

In the lesioned hemisphere, stroke individuals display evidence of white matter damage and degeneration when compared with controls. However, in the contralesional hemisphere, stroke individuals display evidence of increased white matter microstructural integrity. This effect is particularly evident in those individuals with the greatest levels of motor impairment.
Resting state functional connectivity is increased in stroke when compared to controls, and gray matter integrity in the contralesional motor cortex is also increased in the most severely impaired individuals. Finally, specific contralesional neural substrates correlate significantly with a quantitative robotic measurement of loss of independent joint control.

This work demonstrates direct evidence for increased reliance on contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathways in chronic hemiparetic stroke, especially in the most severely impaired individuals. It emphasizes a need to better understand the neuroplastic changes that occur over time in the brain after stroke, particularly in the brainstem, in order to eventually be able to design more patient-specific and targeted therapeutic interventions.
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1. Introduction

“We have a brain for one reason and one reason only -- that’s to produce adaptable and complex movements. Movement is the only way you have of affecting the world around you. Communication—speech, gestures, writing, sign language—they’re all mediated through contractions of your muscles. Sensory, memory and cognitive processes are all important, but they’re only important to either drive or suppress future movements. There can be no evolutionary advantage to laying down memories of childhood or perceiving the color of a rose if it doesn’t affect the way you’re going to move later in life.”

--Daniel Wolpert

The human brain is made up of over 100 billion neurons. It is perhaps the most complex structure in the body, and production of movement is arguably its most important purpose. The system that controls movement, the motor system, is a set of neural structures that generate the commands that act on muscles, causing them to contract and generate movement. Because most of the activities humans carry out on a daily basis, in some way or another, depend on specific and effective motor control, neural injury, such as stroke, can be devastating.

There are as many as 1.1 million hemiparetic stroke survivors in the U.S. who exhibit movement impairments. One fundamental example of movement impairment is the loss of independent joint control, which results in a stereotypic multi-joint movement pattern consisting of abnormal coupling between shoulder abduction and elbow, wrist, and finger flexion. Research using an ACT\textsuperscript{3D} robotic device, used to increase shoulder abduction loading, has demonstrated that this loss of independent joint control has a negative impact on reaching ability (Ellis et al., 2011) and hand opening (Lan, 2017), both critical components of functional use of the arm during daily activities. Despite the debilitating nature of this motor impairment and its negative
effect on recovery of upper limb function following stroke, we still have incomplete evidence of the underlying neural mechanisms of its development.

The human motor system contains multiple descending pathways that link the brain to the spinal cord, allowing transmission of commands for voluntary movement. Because the motor system consists of multiple distributed and parallel systems, lesions can degrade but not completely eliminate its function. After a stroke, brain edema and subsequent inflammation occur, initiating a complex cascade of cellular and molecular processes, not only in the area surrounding the lesion but also in remote brain regions. These processes lead to cerebral reorganization or “rewiring” in surviving networks that can cause some spontaneous recovery of function. Despite the brain’s ability for some motor relearning and neuroplasticity, the chronic stroke brain depends on remaining motor pathways that may not be as effective at communicating motor signals to the spinal cord as the intact brain.

Much of the currently available information about neuroanatomical connections comes from studies in animals. Since techniques such as axonal transport or immunohistochemistry cannot be used in humans, a gap in knowledge exists especially with regard to smaller tracts and fibers. Despite this limitation, work done in nonhuman primates can serve as a good foundation for what is likely to happen in the human brain after stroke.

Of particular interest is the role of the contralesional hemisphere (ipsilateral to the paretic limb) and its influence on descending pathways in contributing to motor control after stroke. In healthy primates, direct ipsilateral corticospinal pathways appear absent for hand muscles. For this reason, ipsilateral corticospinal pathways from the contralesional hemisphere are considered of little importance for recovery of function. In contrast, inputs from pathways originating in the
brainstem nuclei become strongly enhanced (2.5x) in forearm flexors after a stroke. This finding supports earlier work done in human stroke individuals that demonstrates a contralesional oligosynaptic corticobulbospinal connection using brain stimulation techniques (Schwerin et al., 2008; Schwerin et al., 2011). Evidence in both animals and humans suggests that in the absence of pyramidal tracts, the brainstem pathways are capable of controlling a wide range of activity. Bulbospinal pathways may be able to convey ipsilateral motor signals after injury. The pattern of reorganization in humans, with respect to these bulbospinal pathways, still remains to be studied in detail.

However, there is increasing evidence from advances in neuroimaging that similar neuroplastic changes occur in humans as in nonhuman primates in response to neural injury. Neuroimaging allows us to probe the human brain in vivo to provide a greater understanding of the mechanisms of recovery. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies confirm that movements of the paretic limb are associated with enhanced neural activity in both the lesioned and non-lesioned (contralesional) hemispheres; however, previous studies of motor tasks remain limited because they often look at joints in isolation rather than in the context of the whole limb.

Much of the neuroimaging work done in humans focuses on the motor cortex and the descending corticospinal tract, but as animal studies demonstrate, many critical aspects of movement control are performed by structures and pathways positioned below the cerebral hemispheres. The pathways that project from the brainstem to the spinal cord provide additional avenues for both hemispheres to influence movements of the paretic limb, which has important implications for recovery of function following injury. These bulbospinal pathways remain
understudied in humans, and it remains speculative whether they play a role in abnormal joint coupling after stroke.

Cerebral reorganization undoubtedly contributes to functional recovery after stroke, but it is unclear what role the brainstem structures and pathways play in humans. Therefore, the purpose of this work is to determine whether structural and functional changes occur within the brainstem in chronic hemiparetic stroke individuals when compared to healthy, age-matched control individuals and if so, to relate these changes to a quantitative robotic measurement of abnormal joint coupling in the paretic limb. To date, many studies have tested the efficacy of rTMS or tDCS for enhancing recovery post-stroke. Results using these approaches have shown temporary changes in motor performance but have not resulted in significant and sustained improved outcomes following stroke. It may be that a better understanding of the role the brainstem plays in neural reorganization and motor control post-stroke could help design and rationalize future therapeutic strategies aimed at diminishing or even preventing the debilitating effects of loss of independent joint control in human stroke patients.
2. **Background and Literature Review**

The following review will provide an overview of stroke and the main impairment that follows: loss of independent joint control. It will outline the major anatomical and physiological principles of the human motor system in health and disease followed by a description of several imaging methods used to examine it.

**Stroke**

A stroke occurs in the brain when a blood vessel is blocked, prohibiting blood flow to neural tissue (ischemic stroke) or when there is bleeding in the brain (hemorrhagic stroke). In the time immediately following a stroke, brain edema can occur, typically reaching its peak in the following 3-5 days (Shaw et al., 1959). This brain edema can temporarily leave neural pathways unable to effectively transmit signals and more permanently leads to a toxic molecular cascade, neuronal cell death, and subsequent poor functional outcomes (Battey et al., 2014). Of all strokes, 87% are ischemic and 13% hemorrhagic (GCNKSS, NINDS, 1999). It is estimated that in 2010, the global prevalence of stroke was 33 million, with 16.9 million people having a first time stroke (Mozaffarian et al., 2016).

On average, someone in the United States has a stroke every forty seconds, and someone dies of a stroke every four minutes, costing an estimated $36.5 billion each year (Go et al., 2014). It is the leading cause of long term disability, leaving survivors with motor, language, cognitive and other impairments. Most patients do show some degree of recovery; however, there is no universally accepted treatment (Ward & Cohen, 2004) and few people return to pre-stroke state (Cramer & Chopp, 2000). Although rehabilitation techniques aimed at adaptation to
impairment are well recognized, strategies designed to restore function are less well developed. It is necessary to have better understanding of the factors that promote central nervous system reorganization post-stroke and the role this reorganization plays in recovery of function. This information would help facilitate the development of novel, evidence-based therapeutic techniques that could better optimize recovery.

**Healthy Motor System**

Stroke often causes damage to the motor system, which comprises a complicated network of connections within itself and other parts of the nervous system. It can become altered both directly from stroke-induced damage and in compensatory reorganization in response to such a focal injury. Understanding how a damaged brain adapts following neural injury, such as a stroke, can shed light on the structural and functional organization of the healthy human motor system.

**Cortical Regions**

There are several motor areas included in the cortical motor system, each one with its own set of corticospinal neurons (Dum & Strick, 1991). These include primary motor cortex (M1), premotor cortex (PM), supplementary motor area (SMA) and cingulate motor cortex, shown in Figure 2.1. M1 lies along the pre-central gyrus, in Brodmann’s area 4, and is important for the neural impulses that produce movement through projections of the corticospinal tract (CST) (Dum & Strick, 1991). Historically, it was believed that M1 was the primary origin of cortical commands to the spinal cord, with premotor areas primarily responsible for integrating information from parietal and frontal regions and sending that information to M1; however, careful anatomical retrograde tracing in primates has shown that premotor areas (PM, SMA,
cingulate) have the potential to influence the control of movement not only at the level of M1 but also directly through projections to the spinal cord, which, when combined, equal or even outnumber those from M1 (Dum & Strick, 2002).

Secondary motor areas have some degree of somatotopic representation (Dum & Strick, 2002) and are thought to be active in higher order aspects of motor control. More specifically in primates, PM cortex has been associated with motor preparation of externally guided reaching (Cisek & Kalaska, 2005; Churchland et al., 2006) and shaping the hand around an object during grasp (Murata et al., 1997). In contrast, SMA activity has been associated with preparation of

---

**Figure 2.1**
Based on their histological studies at the beginning of the 20th century, Korbinian Brodmann and Alfred Campbell each divided the precentral cortex in humans into two anatomically distinct cytoarchitectonic areas: the primary motor cortex (Brodmann’s area 4) and premotor cortex (Brodmann’s area 6). Subsequent studies by Woolsey and colleagues led to subdivision of the premotor cortex into medial and lateral halves, the supplementary area and lateral premotor cortex, respectively (Kandel, 2013).
self-initiated movements (Erdler et al., 2000) and plays an important role in motor imagery (Kuhtz-Buschbeck et al., 2003). Together, these cortical regions coordinate to send commands that ultimately control activity of motor neurons in the spinal cord.

Other cortical inputs targeting the motor cortex originate from the opposite hemisphere and project through the corpus callosum. Cortical motor regions also receive projections from the basal ganglia (Hoover & Strick, 1993) and cerebellum (Middleton & Strick, 1998) by way of the thalamus, forming reciprocal connections and a complicated cortical-subcortical network that generates movement. Descending cortical and subcortical pathways to the spinal cord constitute the primary routes by which the brain can control spinal motor output. Descending corticobulbar pathways influence motor control through projections to brainstem structures, which give rise to bulbospinal pathways discussed below.

**Corticospinal tract (CST)**

The cortical projections terminating in the spinal cord comprise the corticospinal tract, a large bundle of fibers containing close to a million axons, which spans the length of the brain. In primates, this pathway originates from M1, dorsal and ventral PM cortices, SMA and cingulate motor areas (Dum & Strick, 2005), as well as posterior parietal cortex and parietal operculum (Lemon, 2008). A recent diffusion imaging study in humans demonstrates the contributions of various cortical areas to the corticospinal tract: M1, S1, SMA and PM cortices account for 37%, 32%, 25% and 7% of axons, respectively (Seo & Jang, 2013). From the cortex, the corticospinal tract travels through the internal capsule and cerebral peduncles of the midbrain and decussates in the pyramids of the medulla, resulting in contralateral motor control: the left side of the brain controlling the right side of the spinal cord, and vice versa (Welniarz et al., 2017). A small
percentage of axons do not cross at the pyramids and project ipsilaterally as the anterior corticospinal tract. Corticospinal projections terminate in the spinal cord to make powerful, monosynaptic connections directly with alpha motor neurons in the ventral horn or interneurons that influence those alpha motor neurons. There is evidence that the direct, monosynaptic projections from the cortex to alpha motor neurons in the spinal cord, or cortico-motoneuronal

![Figure 2.2](image)

*Figure 2.2*
Descending trajectory of the corticospinal tract (Felten & Józefowicz, 2003)
connections, observed in non-human primates also exist in humans, with the most pronounced effects present in the distal muscles (Lemon, 2008). Seminal work by Lawrence and Kuypers shows that a lesion to the corticospinal tract causes a loss of individuated wrist and finger movements, demonstrating the pathway’s importance in control of distal extremities and fine finger coordination (Lawrence & Kuypers, 1968a). The neuroanatomical trajectory of the corticospinal tract is shown in Figure 2.2.

Reticulospinal tract (RetST)

Much of what is known regarding reticulospinal pathway anatomy and function comes from animal studies, leaving it relatively unstudied in humans. These pathways originate in the brainstem reticular formation nuclei in the pons and medulla: pontis caudalis, pontis oralis, parvocellularis, magnocellularis and gigantocellularis (Butler, 2005). Descending axons from these nuclei travel primarily in the medial longitudinal fasciculus and terminate in the spinal cord, where they can influence alpha and gamma motor neurons, contributing to skeletal muscle tone and somatic reflexes (Conn, 2008). The reticulospinal tract tends to facilitate flexors and suppress extensors ipsilaterally (Davidson & Buford, 2006; Davidson et al., 2007)

Initial work characterizing the reticulospinal tract focused on its role in gross movements such as locomotion (Mori et al., 2001) and postural stability (Schepens et al., 2008); however, more recent work in primates shows that it makes mono- and di-synaptic connections to motor neurons in the forearm (Riddle et al., 2009). Interneurons involved in controlling the hand often receive convergent information from both the corticospinal tract and the reticulospinal tract (Riddle & Baker, 2010), and stimulating the reticulospinal tract elicits excitatory post-synaptic
potentials (EPSPs) in hand muscles, albeit much smaller in magnitude than EPSPs resulting from stimulation of the CST (Baker, 2011).

Anatomical tracing studies show cortical regions send bilateral projections to the pontomedullary reticular formation (PMRF) in both cats and monkeys (Keizer & Kuypers, 1984, 1989). A recent study describes the specific patterns of the corticoreticular projection in macaque monkeys. Anterograde tracer, BDA, was injected into M1, PM or SMA cortical regions, and the labeling of axons was subsequently analyzed on brainstem histological sections. The projections from PM and SMA regions tended to end mainly ipsilaterally in PMRF but contralaterally when originating in M1, and the projections were more dense coming from secondary areas (PM, SMA) compared to M1. The main termination locations corresponded to regions where reticulospinal cell bodies were located, suggesting the existence of a polysynaptic corticoreticulo-spinal projection in non-human primates (Fregosi et al., 2017b). The corticoreticular pathway can also be detected in humans using diffusion tractography (Jang & Seo, 2014). The more bilateral nature of the reticulospinal system, as opposed to the primarily contralateral distribution of the corticospinal tract, makes it a promising alternative pathway for ipsilateral cortical control of arm movement after neural injury. This anatomical evidence suggests the corticoreticulospinal pathway allows the brain access to motor neurons of the paretic limb from the non-damaged hemisphere, but its output may be constrained to limited motor control.

**Rubrospinal tract (RubST)**

Evidence from animal models shows that the rubrospinal tract originates in the magnocellular red nucleus in the midbrain. The pathway decussates and descends down to terminate in the rostral part of the spinal cord (Conn, 2008), and it is considered important for
providing additional drive for movements in the elbow and wrist (Lemon, 2008). Despite this evidence in animal models, it has been argued that in humans, the rubrospinal tract is small and may not reach the cervical enlargement of the spinal cord (Nathan & Smith, 1982).

Lesion studies in primates demonstrate the relative contributions of these three descending motor pathways: corticospinal, reticulospinal and rubrospinal. These pathways are shown in Figure 2.3. A lesion to the corticospinal tract, not involving the cortical projections to
the lower brainstem, causes impairment in independent finger movement; however, gross voluntary motor control remains preserved (Lawrence & Kuypers, 1968a). An additional lesion to the rubrospinal tract causes an inability to initiate independent hand and wrist movements; however, the animals could still climb the bars of their cage, walk, feed and maintain balance (Lawrence & Kuypers, 1968b), emphasizing the importance of remaining intact reticulospinal tract contributions to motor control.

All of these motor areas and pathways make up a complex network that together produce movement. If any single node is damaged or compromised, it is unlikely that one region will be able to replace it; however, other regions may be able to take on new roles in order to help compensate for the loss. The exact nature of compensatory changes in the human brain after neural injury remains unknown, and stroke-induced changes in bulbospinal pathways, such as the reticulospinal and rubrospinal tracts, have not been well described in humans.

**Dysfunction in the Motor System after Stroke**

**Functional Consequences**

When corticofugal pathways are damaged following a stroke, functional consequences follow. Hemiparetic stroke is often accompanied by abnormalities in muscle tone, hyperactive stretch reflexes or spasticity, muscle weakness and losses of independent joint control or abnormal movement synergies. Spasticity and weakness are easily detected and have been intensely studied; however, losses in independent joint control that emerge post-stroke often result in greater impairment levels and are the least understood (Dewald *et al*., 2001). Atypical muscle synergies give rise to stereotypic and abnormal movement patterns that are functionally debilitating (Given *et al*., 1995). Studies investigating reaching ability post-stroke have shown a
severe loss of independent joint control when individuals support the paretic arm against gravity (Beer et al., 2004), a loss that cannot be accounted for by simple weakness and/or presence of spasticity. This loss of independent joint control, resulting from abnormal coupling between shoulder abduction and elbow, wrist and finger flexion, significantly reduces workspace area (Sukal et al., 2007) and hand opening (Lan, 2017) and serves as a significant source of impairment post-stroke. Understanding the mechanisms behind this debilitating emergence of abnormal joint coupling would help lay the foundation for better maximizing functional recovery in the upper extremity post-stroke.

**Neural Consequences**

Advances in neuroimaging techniques and knowledge of neuroanatomy have made it clear that cortical and subcortical lesions disrupt descending motor pathways, such as the corticobulbar pathways and the corticospinal tract, shown in blue in Figure 2.3 (Lemon, 2008). Since corticospinal pathways are known to be important for control of distal extremities and fine hand and finger movements, it follows that the preservation of these fibers has been linked with good recovery post-stroke (Groisser et al., 2014). More specifically, work done by Stinear and colleagues demonstrates that the functional potential in patients who do not exhibit motor evoked potentials following stroke declines as a function of corticospinal tract interruption (Stinear et al., 2007). While many studies show that ipsilesional corticospinal tract integrity and volume are crucial components in determining recovery potential, they alone do not fully explain the emergence of abnormal joint coupling post-stroke.

**Neural Reorganization Post-stroke**

Contralesional neural activity
A healthy brain will show functional activity in motor cortex contralateral to a moving limb, but when the system becomes damaged, evidence suggests that contralesional sensorimotor cortex (that opposite the lesioned hemisphere) becomes more active during movement of the paretic limb. FMRI studies, measuring BOLD signals during movement, have found task-related activation in contralesional (ipsilateral to the paretic limb) M1 in stroke subjects (Calautti & Baron, 2003). During movement of the affected hand, those with greater clinical impairment showed a stronger influence from contralesional premotor cortex (Bestmann et al., 2010), and overall patients with poorer outcomes tended to activate the unaffected hemisphere’s cortical motor regions more than those with better outcomes (Johansen-Berg et al., 2002). This shift towards contralesional activity is directly related to shoulder abduction load level in the paretic limb (Chen, 2007), suggesting a dynamic, use-dependent reliance on contralesional cortices post-stroke. Although the contribution of contralesional motor regions has been observed in many studies, it still remains unclear what neural substrates allow these regions to affect motor control output after a stroke.

**The Brainstem Hypothesis**

One explanation for why this contralesional shift occurs post-stroke is that after permanent damage to the descending corticofugal pathways, including the corticospinal tract, there may be a need for increased reliance on descending brainstem pathways (shown in green in Figure 2.3) to compensate. The brainstem hypothesis suggests that damaged corticospinal pathways provide inadequate drive to the paretic limb during increased shoulder abduction loading, requiring the system to rely more heavily on contralesional cortex to access bulbospinal
pathways. More specifically, anatomical and neurophysiological evidence suggests that the reticulospinal tract may have the potential to become more heavily relied upon post-stroke.

**Reticulospinal Tract**

Studies in primates show that the reticulospinal tract makes mono- and di-synaptic connections to motoneurons controlling muscles of the forearm (Riddle *et al.*, 2009). Stuart Baker and his colleagues went on to show that interneurons involved in controlling the hand often received convergent information from both corticospinal and reticulospinal projections (Riddle & Baker, 2010). Furthermore, EPSPs are present in hand muscles following stimulation of the medial longitudinal fasciculus, composed mainly of reticulospinal projections, although the magnitude of the EPSPs is much smaller compared to those generated by the CST (Baker, 2011). This evidence supports a potential role for the reticulospinal tract in control of the forearm and sheds light on its potential ability to help compensate after corticospinal tract damage.

Additionally, stimulation at the origin of the tract, the ponto-medullary reticular formation (PMRF), produces wrist flexor, elbow flexor and shoulder abductor activation, reflecting the tract’s ability to simultaneously influence multiple motoneurons and to elicit the same movement patterns as those observed post-stroke. Further support comes from evidence that suggests that following a corticospinal tract lesion in primates, the connections from the medial longitudinal fasciculus undergo functional changes characterized by selective strengthening of inputs to forearm flexor and intrinsic hand motor neurons (Zaaimi *et al.*, 2012). If the corticospinal tract is damaged, the reticulospinal tract may possess the anatomical and physiological properties required to help drive motoneurons and interneurons controlling the forearm in the spinal cord. This evidence supports the hypothesis that the reticulospinal tract is a
strong potential candidate underlying abnormal joint coupling post-stroke. However, this has not been directly demonstrated in humans.

**Robotic Approaches to Quantify Impairment**

Impairment after stroke is commonly measured using clinical metrics such as the Fugl-Meyer Assessment, a performance-based index, which measures loss of independent joint function, stretch reflex hyper-excitability, and altered sensation (Fugl-Meyer *et al.*, 1975) (see a complete testing protocol in Appendix). Although the Fugl-Meyer Assessment is one of the most widely used clinical scales of motor impairment post-stroke (Gladstone *et al.*, 2002), it is determined through subjective, observational analysis on an ordinal scale, which does not account for the complex nature of some motor impairments. For example, expression of flexion synergy has been shown to be task dependent (Beer *et al.*, 1999; Dewald & Beer, 2001; Ellis *et al.*, 2007) and dynamic (Beer *et al.*, 2004; Sukal *et al.*, 2007; Ellis *et al.*, 2008). The more an individual attempts to drive the limb, the greater the activation of the flexion pattern and the lesser the ability to move outside of this pattern (Ellis *et al.*, 2016). This is a subtle feature that is not taken into account when using the Fugl-Meyer Assessment alone.

The use of robotics offers a task-dependent and dynamic way to better quantify the impact of flexion synergy on arm movement and even individual joints. A device that is capable of progressively manipulating proximal joint requirements can provide high-resolution information about the effects of loss of independent joint control. Using this approach, it becomes possible to quantify how the reaching range of motion (work area) (Sukal *et al.*, 2007) and hand opening (Lan, 2017) decreases as a function of increased abduction load on the shoulder after stroke. Ultimately, high-resolution measurements of specific impairments after
stroke will aid in determining the specific underlying mechanisms of deficits such as a loss of independent joint control. In the future, this approach could help in targeting motor impairments and in tracking recovery (Ellis et al., 2016).

**Overview of Neuroimaging Techniques**

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a medical imaging technique based on the use of strong magnetic fields, radio waves and field gradients, used to generate images of the brain in health and disease.

**T<sub>1</sub>: Gray matter density**

A T<sub>1</sub>-weighted image is one of the basic pulse sequences used in MRI. T<sub>1</sub> is the longitudinal relaxation time, which represents the rate at which excited protons return to equilibrium. A T<sub>1</sub>-weighted image produces contrast and brightness based on differences in the specific T<sub>1</sub> longitudinal relaxation time in various tissue types: white matter, gray matter, cerebrospinal fluid. Different tissue types have different T<sub>1</sub> values because the relaxation involves protons losing their excess energy via interactions with oscillating magnetic fields, which are produced by the magnetic moments of nuclei in surrounding tissue. Molecules in more viscous, lower mobility environments, such as those in neural gray matter, exhibit lower motional frequencies, producing magnetic fields that fluctuate at lower frequencies. In contrast, molecules in mobile liquids, such as those in cerebrospinal fluid, produce fluctuating magnetic fields over a much larger frequency range (Webb, 2003).

These differential tissue properties can help characterize pathological neural changes, such as degenerative loss and/or plastic reorganization, following a stroke-induced lesion. In order to assess gray matter across large groups of subjects, automated techniques such as voxel-
based morphometry (VBM) can be used (Whitwell, 2009). This technique uses $T_1$-weighted volumetric MRI scans to perform statistical tests across all voxels in multiple subjects to determine volumetric group differences (Ashburner & Friston, 2000).

More specifically, a VBM analysis begins by creating a study-specific brain template and segmentation of the image into individual tissue types based on the varying $T_1$ relaxation times in those different tissue types. The brains are extracted so that scalp tissue is removed from the image. $T_1$ images from each subject are then registered to a standard brain template and smoothed. Finally, a voxel-wise comparison and statistical testing are performed across all subjects. Statistical analysis is carried out on these maps using permutation testing and threshold-free cluster enhancement. VBM analysis can be used to compare gray matter density differences between groups (stroke vs. control) or to detect neuroanatomical correlates of behavioral deficits, such as loss of independent joint control.

**Diffusion Imaging: White matter microstructure**

Diffusion weighted MRI (Johansen-Berg & Behrens, 2014) is a powerful imaging technique that is currently the only method capable of mapping neural fiber architecture in vivo and non-invasively. It measures the dephasing of spins of protons in the presence of a spatially-varying magnetic field (‘gradient’) (Jones et al., 2013), which can describe diffusion of water in biological tissues. Diffusion tensor imaging (Basser et al., 1994) is one analysis technique of diffusion weighted MRI, which characterizes the magnitude, directionality (anisotropy), and orientation of water diffusion. This approach can detect subtle structural changes in tissue architecture that occur during pathologic processes of the central nervous system.
Water diffusion in biological tissues occurs inside, outside, around, and through cellular structures, to varying degrees. In white matter tissue, water diffusion is less hindered in the direction of fiber orientation but highly restricted in the direction perpendicular to the fibers. This feature gives water diffusion within white matter directionality, also called anisotropy, depending on the axonal orientation, and explains why diffusion tensor imaging is optimally suited to characterize white matter microstructure.

Measures of parallel and perpendicular diffusion within a given voxel can be modeled in a diffusion tensor and visualized using an ellipsoid: the eigenvectors representing the directions of the principle axes and the radii representing the apparent diffusivity strength (Alexander et al., 2007). The primary eigenvector and eigenvalue ($\lambda_1$) describe the primary diffusion direction and magnitude, also called axial diffusivity (AD); the remaining eigenvalues ($\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$) can be averaged to describe diffusion perpendicular to the primary direction, also called radial diffusivity (RD). Fractional anisotropy (FA), a scalar value from 0 to 1, describes how directional the tensor model is in any given voxel and is a frequently used metric in diffusion imaging research in humans (equation below).

$$FA = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2+(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)^2+(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)^2}{2(\lambda_1^2+\lambda_2^2+\lambda_3^2)}}$$

Each voxel in the brain can be described by this ellipsoid model and color-coded maps can be produced. These color maps represent left/right direction in red, anterior/posterior
direction in green and superior/inferior in blue. Figure 2.4 demonstrates the process by which the measurement of water diffusion can create color-coded maps of the human brain in vivo.

In order to assess white matter microstructural integrity across large groups of subjects, a technique such as tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) can be used (Smith et al., 2006). First, the data get brain extracted, denoised, and corrected for motion and eddy currents. Each voxel in this preprocessed diffusion-weighted image is then fit with a tensor model, which characterizes water
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**Figure 2.4**
The Principle of DTI and Contrast Generation:
From diffusion measurements along multiple axes (A), the shape and the orientation of a “diffusion ellipsoid” is estimated (B). An anisotropy map (D) can be created from the shape, in which dark regions are isotropic (spherical) and bright regions are anisotropic (elongated). From the estimated ellipsoid (B), the orientation of the longest axis can be found (C), which is assumed to represent the local fiber orientation. This orientation information is converted to a color (F) at each pixel. By combining the intensity of the anisotropy map (D) and color (F), a color-coded orientation map is created (E) (Mori & Zhang, 2006).
diffusion directionality. Each resulting FA map gets registered to a standard space template, the Montreal Neurological Institute’s (MNI) standard brain. A mean FA image is generated from all individual FA maps and used to create a common group skeleton, which represents the centers of all fiber bundles that are common to all subjects. A threshold is applied to minimize potential white matter/gray matter partial volume effects. Each subject’s FA map is then projected onto the mean FA skeleton so that each skeleton voxel takes the FA value from the local center of the nearest relevant tract, addressing the issue of alignment ([www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl](http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl)). Finally, voxel-wise statistics are run to compare groups or obtain neuroanatomical correlates of behavioral deficits. Statistical analysis is carried out on these maps using permutation testing and threshold-free cluster enhancement.

This approach is simple to apply, can investigate the whole brain and does not require pre-specifying regions of interest. It is a more data-driven approach that can identify subtle changes in white matter microstructure, which may have previously been overlooked with purely hypothesis driven approaches.

**Resting state functional connectivity**

*This section has previously been published:*

Functional magnetic resonance imaging is a safe, noninvasive technique that uses strong magnetic fields and magnetic gradients to record signals that, through appropriate processing and interpretation, can serve as a useful proxy for neural activity in a working and actively changing human brain. This technique has excellent spatial resolution, but suffers from poor temporal resolution.
The magnetic resonance signal depends on varying levels of deoxygenated hemoglobin in the blood (Ogawa et al., 1990; Ogawa et al., 1993). In order to produce energy, neurons require a sustained presence of oxygen, which travels through the blood bound to hemoglobin, a specialized protein. Neuronal activity alters the relative levels of oxygenated hemoglobin and deoxygenated hemoglobin, which causes the magnetic resonance signal to change. This signal change serves as the foundation for the blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal measured in fMRI. It is important to note that the BOLD signal represents an indirect measure of metabolism, cerebral blood flow, and cerebral blood volume, and although proportional to levels of neural activity (Logothetis & Pfeuffer, 2004), should not be construed as a direct measure of neural activity (Lotze et al., 2003).

There is important information present in the BOLD signals obtained at rest (Raichle, 2001; Raichle et al., 2001). Spontaneous, low-frequency BOLD signal correlations between distinct regions at rest, known as resting state functional connectivity (rsFC), provide insight into the functional organization of the brain and can reflect networks that are active during a task (Biswal et al., 1995). Resting state functional connectivity can serve as a useful tool for characterizing patient populations and quantifying neural changes in response to clinical interventions (Baliki et al., 2012; Mutso et al., 2014).

One common technique used is seed-based functional connectivity analysis. This method involves selecting a region of interest and correlating the average BOLD signal within this region (or seed) with the BOLD signal from every other voxel in the brain. The data undergo correction for motion, bandpass filtering to obtain only low frequency signals, and spatial smoothing. Signals from cerebrospinal fluid and white matter are regressed out, and scans are registered into
a standard space using the T\textsubscript{1} scan. The average time-course from voxels within the chosen seed are extracted and put in a correlation analysis with the time-course from all other voxels in the brain. Pearson correlation coefficient maps are produced and converted to z-values using Fisher’s correction to improve normality. Statistical analysis is carried out on these maps using permutation testing and threshold-free cluster enhancement. This statistical testing can compare rsFC values between groups (stroke vs. control) or identify specific neuroanatomical functional correlates of impairment in patient populations.

Seed-based analysis can provide a precise and detailed look at the connectivity (or lack thereof) between brain areas of interest (Margulies et al., 2007). This approach is advantageous in a patient population because it can include subjects that may not be able to perform a specific task, and it greatly diminishes the risk of motion artifacts that can emerge during a task-based fMRI scan.

**Summary**

The present review has provided an overview of stroke and a main impairment that develops as a consequence: loss of independent joint control. The aim of this work is to use an interdisciplinary approach combining robotics and multi-modal neuroimaging techniques to explore the underlying neural mechanisms behind this debilitating impairment. Understanding the neural substrates that contribute to a loss of independent joint control would help design more targeted interventions aimed at preventing or diminishing its effects after stroke.
3. Upper Extremity Motor Impairments and Microstructural Changes in Bulbospinal Pathways in Chronic Hemiparetic Stroke

This chapter has previously been published:

Abstract

Following hemiparetic stroke, precise, individuated control of single joints is often replaced by highly stereotyped patterns of multi-joint movement, or abnormal limb synergies, which can negatively impact functional use of the paretic arm. One hypothesis for the expression of these synergies is an increased dependence on bulbospinal pathways such as the rubrospinal and especially the reticulospinal tracts, which coactivate multiple muscles of the shoulder, elbow, wrist and fingers. Despite indirect evidence supporting this hypothesis in humans post-stroke, it still remains unclear whether it is correct. We used high-resolution diffusion tensor imaging to quantify white matter microstructure in relation to severity of arm synergy and hand related motor impairments. Diffusion tensor imaging was performed on 19 moderately to severely impaired chronic stroke individuals and 15 healthy, age-matched controls. In stroke individuals compared to controls, there was significantly decreased fractional anisotropy and significantly increased axial and radial diffusivity in bilateral corona radiata and body of the corpus callosum. Furthermore, post-stroke the contralesional reticulospinal tract fractional anisotropy correlated significantly with both upper extremity synergy severity \( (r=-0.606, p=0.003) \) and hand impairment \( (r=-0.609, p=0.003) \). Fractional anisotropy in the ipsilesional rubrospinal tract significantly correlated with hand impairment severity \( (r=-0.590, p=0.004) \). For the first time, we
separately evaluate reticulospinal and rubrospinal tract microstructure in chronic stroke individuals with upper extremity motor impairment. We demonstrate that individuals with the greatest upper extremity synergy severity and hand impairments post-stroke have the highest fractional anisotropy in the contralesional reticulospinal tract, a pattern consistent with increased myelination and suggestive of neuroplastic reorganization. Since the reticulospinal pathway microstructure, in particular, is sensitive to abnormal joint coupling and hand related motor impairment in chronic stroke, it could help test the effects of specific, and novel, anti-synergy neurorehabilitation interventions for recovery from hemiparesis.

**Introduction**

Approximately 85% of stroke survivors experience significant motor impairment in the contralesional arm (Feys et al., 1998), which can include a loss of independent joint control (Beer et al., 2000; Miller & Dewald, 2012), weakness (Canning et al., 2004), and spasticity (Opheim et al., 2014). After stroke, precise, individuated control of single joints is often replaced by highly stereotyped patterns of multi-joint movement caused by abnormal muscle co-activation patterns (Dewald et al., 1995). The most prevalent of these patterns is the flexion synergy, which is characterized by an abnormal coupling of shoulder abduction and elbow, wrist and finger flexion (Twitchell, 1951; Brunnstrom, 1970). This impairment has a negative impact on reaching ability (Ellis et al., 2011) and hand function (Miller & Dewald, 2012; Lan, 2017), both critical components of functional use of the arm during activities of daily living. Despite the debilitating nature of this motor impairment, the underlying neuropathophysiology is not fully understood.

One hypothesis for why the flexion synergy emerges is that following a reduction of corticofugal input from the lesioned hemisphere, there is an increased dependence on
contralesional motor cortex and bulbospinal pathways such as reticulospinal (RetST) and rubrospinal tracts (RubST). Therefore, in the present study, we quantify microstructural properties in white matter of both the brain and the brainstem, focusing primarily on cortico-reticulospinal and cortico-rubrospinal systems. We evaluate whether these microstructural properties increase in integrity in relation to arm synergy and hand impairment severity, which could be indicative of increased use.

Although the RetST was previously believed to be predominantly involved in gross movements such as locomotion (Drew et al., 1986; Matsuyama & Drew, 2000) and posture (Prentice & Drew, 2001; Schepens & Drew, 2004), recent work in primates suggests the RetST also influences the motor neurons that control forearm and intrinsic hand muscles (Riddle et al., 2009). In the non-human primate, stimulation of the RetST produces ipsilateral wrist flexor, elbow flexor and shoulder abductor activation (Davidson & Buford, 2006), mirroring the flexion synergy pattern observed in humans post-stroke. Furthermore, stimulating the RetST after a corticospinal tract (CST) lesion elicits increased excitatory post-synaptic potentials in motoneurons innervating the forearm flexor and intrinsic hand muscles (Zaaaimi et al., 2012). This evidence makes the ipsilateral (contralesional) cortico-reticulospinal system a compelling candidate for underlying abnormal joint coupling in humans with hemiparetic stroke.

In the non-human primate, the RubST also contributes to reaching and grasping movements (Kennedy et al., 1986), and has been shown to be important in recovery of hand function after CST damage (Lawrence & Kuypers, 1968b; Belhaj-Saif & Cheney, 2000). One study showed that increased white matter integrity in bilateral red nucleus correlated with worse clinical outcomes in humans with chronic stroke (Ruber et al., 2012); however, the RubST has
been reported as relatively insignificant in humans (Nathan & Smith, 1955; Onodera & Hicks, 2010). The evidence for whether the RetST and the RubST contribute to abnormal joint coupling and hand impairment in humans post-stroke still remains indirect and inconclusive.

We used high-resolution DTI (Basser et al., 1994) tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) (Smith et al., 2006) to perform a voxel-wise comparison of white matter microstructure between stroke and control individuals. We analyzed fractional anisotropy (FA), a measurement typically associated with tract integrity, as well as axial (AD) and radial diffusivity (RD), which represent diffusion parallel and perpendicular to the principle direction of diffusion, respectively. Because previous studies have reported altered diffusion properties in lesioned tissue (Stinear et al., 2007; Jason et al., 2011; Puig et al., 2013), we excluded potential lesion-compromised voxels from our TBSS analysis to assess changes in normal appearing white matter. We used the TBSS-derived white matter skeleton to investigate whether microstructural tissue properties within specific regions of the brainstem (CST, RetST, RubST) and subcortical white matter within contralesional motor areas (M1, PM, SMA, body of the Corpus Callosum) are sensitive to upper extremity motor impairment in chronic stroke individuals.

We evaluated upper extremity motor impairment using the Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA), a stroke-specific, performance-based motor impairment index, which measures impairments such as loss of independent joint function, stretch reflex hyper-excitability and altered sensation (Fugl-Meyer et al., 1975). It is one of the most widely used clinical scales of motor impairment post-stroke (Gladstone et al., 2002). While previous studies have looked at diffusion MRI metrics in relation to the entire FMA score (Takenobu et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015), we used only the upper extremity measurements of arm synergies and hand function to
determine whether microstructural properties in specific white matter regions of interest (ROIs) were correlated.

In the present study, we hypothesized that microstructural integrity in specific regions of the extrapyramidal brainstem would be increased in chronic stroke in a manner sensitive to synergy and hand related impairment severity. We demonstrate a significant decrease in fractional anisotropy in bilateral corona radiata and body of the corpus callosum in chronic stroke when compared to controls; however, within stroke subjects, specific brainstem regions show the highest FA in individuals with the most synergy-driven arm and hand impairment. More precisely, we describe the relation between contralesional RetST integrity and both expression of synergy and hand impairment and between ipsilesional RubST integrity and hand impairment in chronic hemiparetic stroke individuals.

**Methods**

**Patients and healthy controls**

19 moderately to severely impaired stroke individuals (15M,4F; average age 59 years, standard deviation 8 years; 9 severe, 10 moderate), and 15 age-matched healthy controls (8M,7F; average age 61 years, standard deviation 7 years) without known neurological abnormalities were included in the study. Stroke subjects sustained a unilateral brain lesion from a stroke at least four months prior to participation in the study. Stroke participants were selected from the Clinical Neuroscience Research Registry, housed in the Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, as well as from individuals residing in Chicago who wished to participate.

Inclusion criteria for stroke individuals were as follows: 1) paresis confined to one side, with motor impairment of the upper limb, 2) an overall Upper Extremity FMA score between 0
and 50 out of 66 (0-20=severe, 21-50=moderate (Velozo & Woodbury, 2011)), 3) absence of severe cognitive or affective dysfunction, 4) absence of severe concurrent medical problems. The protocol was approved by the Northwestern University Institutional Review Board, and all subjects provided a written, informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Table 3.1 shows the clinical characteristics of the stroke individuals.

### Table 3.1
Patient demographics and clinical characteristics of all stroke subjects enrolled in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Time post stroke (months)</th>
<th>Lesioned hemi</th>
<th>Lesion location</th>
<th>UE-FMA total</th>
<th>FMA synergy</th>
<th>FMA hand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S01</td>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>IC, BG, Thal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S02</td>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>IC, BG, Thal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S03</td>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>IC, BG</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S04</td>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Par, Occ, IC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S05</td>
<td>71-75</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S06</td>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>IC, BG, Thal</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S07</td>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Par, IC</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S08</td>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>IC, BG, Thal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S09</td>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>IC, Pons</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>IC, BG</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Par, IC, BG, Thal</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Occ, IC</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Thal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>IC, BG</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16</td>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Par, IC, Thal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S17</td>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Occ, IC, Thal</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S18</td>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>IC, Thal</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19</td>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>IC, BG, Thal</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BG, basal ganglia; FMA, Fugl-Meyer assessment; IC, internal capsule; Occ, occipital lobe; Par, parietal lobe; Thal, thalamus; UE, upper extremity.

### Clinical Assessment

Upper extremity Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA) was performed by a licensed physical therapist to evaluate motor impairment in stroke individuals. Higher values indicated less impairment (Fugl-Meyer et al., 1975). From this assessment, arm synergies (range: 9 to 22 out of a maximum score of 30) and hand related impairments (range: 0 to 8 out of a maximum score of
24) were calculated separately. In our subsequent analysis, we determined which neural regions were correlated to synergy related arm impairment or hand impairment.

**Data Acquisition**

MRI scans were performed at Northwestern University’s Center for Translation Imaging on a 3 Tesla Siemens Prisma scanner with a 64-channel head coil. Structural T1-weighted scans were acquired using an MPRAGE sequence (TR=2.3s, TE=2.94ms, FOV=256x256mm$^2$) producing an isotropic voxel resolution of 1x1x1mm$^3$ and lasting 10 minutes. Diffusion weighted images were collected from all subjects using spin-echo echo-planar imaging (TR=5s, TW=85ms, matrix size=150x150, FOV=225x225mm, slice thickness=1.5mm, interslice gap=0mm, number of slices=120) producing an isotropic voxel resolution of 1.5x1.5x1.5mm$^3$ and lasting 5 minutes. The sequence consisted of diffusion weighting of 1000s/mm$^2$ in 60 different directions and 8 scans with no diffusion weighting (b=0s/mm$^2$). Visual inspection of acquired images was performed immediately following the data acquisition to guarantee no artifacts and stable head position.

**DTI pre-processing**

The diffusion-weighted images were first brain-extracted using the BET toolbox in FSL (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). The data were then denoised using an estimate of the noise variance in CSF signal intensity of the right ventricle (Aja-Fernandez et al., 2008) and Rician noise corrected (Ingo et al., 2014). The data were corrected for motion and eddy currents by co-registering diffusion weighted images to the image acquired with b=0s/mm$^2$ using the FLIRT
toolbox in FSL. The motion correction transformation matrix was applied to the diffusion gradient directions to rotate them according to the registration algorithm. The pre-processed diffusion weighted data were fitted to a tensor on a voxel-wise basis using DTIFIT in the FSL Diffusion Toolbox (Behrens et al., 2003).

**TBSS Analysis**

For those individuals with lesions in the left hemisphere, FA maps were flipped so that all subjects had lesions in the right hemisphere and group analysis compared all contralesional hemispheres in the left hemisphere. FA maps were first linearly and then non-linearly registered to the FMRIB58_FA in Montreal Neurological Institute’s (MNI) standard space. A mean FA image was then created from all individual FA images, and used to generate a common group skeleton. A threshold was applied at 0.2 to minimize potential white matter / gray matter partial volume effects. Finally, each FA image was projected onto the common group skeleton for subsequent statistical analysis. The same transformations were applied to both axial diffusivity (AD) and radial diffusivity (RD) maps, which represent diffusion parallel and perpendicular to the principal direction of diffusion, respectively.

**Lesion mask**

Lesion masks were generated on the T1-weighted scans using automated pipelines developed at Northwestern University (Wang et al., 2015). For those individuals with lesions in the left hemisphere, lesion masks were flipped into the right hemisphere so that group analysis compared all contralesional hemispheres in the left hemisphere. For each subject, T1 images
were brain extracted and affine registered to the $b=0$ diffusion scan using FSL FLIRT (Jenkinson & Smith, 2001; Jenkinson et al., 2002). The same transformation matrix was applied to align individual lesion masks to the subject specific diffusion image, with the assumption that hypointensity due to the lesion in the T1-weighted image matched the hyperintensity due to the lesion in the T2-weighted $b=0$ image. The lesion mask for each subject was transformed into MNI space, utilizing the same transform applied to the FA images. A cumulative right hemisphere lesion mask was created for all stroke individuals by adding individual lesion masks from each subject in standard space. This common lesion mask was used to exclude all lesioned voxels from subsequent TBSS analysis and to ensure statistical testing was performed only on normal appearing white matter, with regard to T1-weighted signal, in all subjects.

**TBSS Statistical Analysis**

In order to determine voxel-wise statistics between stroke subjects and healthy controls, permutation testing was applied to the 4D skeletonized FA, AD, and RD maps, with lesioned voxels excluded. Using RANDOMISE in FSL, 5000 permutations with threshold-free cluster enhancement were performed to correct for multiple comparisons (Winkler et al., 2014).

**ROI Analysis**

A region of interest (ROI) analysis was performed using the TBSS-generated white matter skeleton to further quantify diffusion characteristics in a specific subset of neural regions relevant to motor recovery post-stroke. These included contralesional white matter from motor regions: primary motor (M1), premotor (PM), and supplementary motor area (SMA) and body of
the corpus callosum as shown in Figure 3.1A,B and bilateral brainstem regions, which included cerebral peduncles (CP), containing descending projections of the CST, reticular formation (RF) containing part of the descending RetST, and red nucleus (RN) containing descending RubST projections, shown in Figure 3.1 C-E. The cerebral peduncle and corpus callosum masks were obtained from the JHU ICBM-DTI-81 White-Matter Atlas (Mori, 2005; Wakana et al., 2007; Hua et al., 2008); the motor region masks were obtained from Human Motor Area Template (Mayka et al., 2006); the RN and RF masks were drawn manually in the midbrain and pons based on known anatomy (Standring, 2016) and extended caudally to capture descending projections. The midbrain region was carefully selected because at this level, CP, RF and RN are visibly separable (Felton, 2003). Average FA values were calculated from all white matter skeleton voxels within each ROI: left CP, right CP, left RetST, right RetST, left RubST, right RubST, white matter from motor regions (M1, PM, SMA) and body of the corpus callosum.
Figure 3.1
Region of interest masks in Montreal Neurological Institute's space. (A) Primary motor area (red), supplementary motor area (green), premotor area (blue), (B) body of the corpus callosum (light blue), (C) horizontal midbrain cross-section showing cerebral peduncle (CP) portion of the corticospinal tract (yellow) and red nucleus (RN) (red), (D) horizontal pontine cross-section showing reticular formation (RF) (green), and (E) sagittal brainstem showing RF including reticulospinal (green) and RN including rubrospinal tracts (red).

ROI Statistical Analysis

If an ROI did not show significant differences in TBSS, the stroke group was split into severe and moderate based on FMA score (0-20=severe, 21-50=moderate (Velozo & Woodbury, 2011)), for further analysis in which a one-way ANOVA and post-hoc testing were performed on the control, moderate stroke and severe stroke group ROI values. Furthermore, a Spearman correlation analysis was carried out between both hand and arm components of the UE-FMA and
average FA values within each ROI: left and right CP, left and right RetST and left and right RubST, white matter from contralesional motor regions (M1, PM, SMA) and body of the corpus callosum across stroke participants. If there was no significant correlation for FA and impairment within an ROI, AD and RD were analyzed for possible correlation to impairment. For all non-significant correlations between FA and impairment presented in Table 2, correlations for AD and RD were also non-significant. We accounted for multiple comparisons using a Bonferroni correction. An overall alpha-level of 0.005 was considered significant, which was obtained by dividing alpha of 0.05 by the 10 comparisons that were made. There was a significant correlation

**Table 3.2**
r and p values for correlations between upper extremity FMA (synergy and hand) and fractional anisotropy values in specific brain regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Synergy</th>
<th>Hand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ipsilesional CP</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralesional CP</td>
<td>-0.531</td>
<td><strong>0.010</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ipsilesional RetST</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralesional RetST</td>
<td>-0.606</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ipsilesional RubST</td>
<td>-0.282</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralesional RubST</td>
<td>-0.349</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralesional M1</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralesional PM</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralesional SMA</td>
<td>-0.415</td>
<td><strong>0.039</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum</td>
<td>-0.502</td>
<td><strong>0.014</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CP, cerebral peduncle; RetST, reticulospinal tract; RubST, rubrospinal tract; M1, primary motor tract; PM, premotor tract; SMA, supplementary motor tract. Bold signifies reaching statistical significance of p < 0.005. Bold-italic signifies trends such that 0.005 < p < 0.05.*
between synergy and hand FMA components ($r=0.642$, $p=0.0015$).

**Results**

**Lesion mask**

Figure 3.2 shows the cumulative lesion mask for all stroke individuals. Areas that appear in yellow signify a higher number of subjects had a lesion in that voxel. Voxels in which at least one individual had a lesion were excluded for subsequent TBSS analysis.
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**Figure 3.2**
Cumulative lesion mask for all stroke subjects. Z=126, 90, 75 and 55. The color bar indicates how many subjects sustained a lesion in that particular voxel. The maximum was 11 subjects sharing a single-lesioned voxel with a minimum of one individual. The average group white matter skeleton is shown in green.

**TBSS analysis stroke vs. control**

Figure 3A-C shows the average group white matter skeleton in green, with lesion-compromised voxels removed in the right hemisphere. In Figure 3A, there is significantly decreased FA in regions of the corona radiata, corpus callosum and ipsilesional cerebral peduncle (CP) in stroke when compared to controls, shown in red. Figures 3B and 3C show there
was significantly increased AD and RD in motor regions of the corona radiata, internal capsule and corpus callosum in stroke when compared to controls, shown in blue.

In contrast, the contralesional CP, bilateral RetST and bilateral RubST ROIs showed no significant group differences in the TBSS analysis, and were further analyzed by subdividing the stroke group. When dividing the stroke group into moderately (FMA 21-50) and severely
impaired (FMA 0-20) groups, there was no significant effect of group on the diffusion measures of the contralesional CP or bilateral RubST. However, there was a statistically significant difference between groups as determined by one-way ANOVA for FA in contralesional RetST (p=0.0063). Post-hoc testing revealed that FA in contralesional RetST was significantly higher in severely impaired individuals (0.41±0.03, p=0.0045) compared to moderately impaired individuals (0.36±0.02). This result was primarily driven by differences in RD (p=0.024) rather than AD (p=0.866). The average FA value for the control group (0.39±0.03) was between the average FA values of the moderately and severely impaired individuals, but statistical significance was not reached for these comparisons (severe vs. control (p=0.243), moderate vs. control (p=0.093)). These results are shown in Figure 3.4.
A correlation analysis was performed for the synergy and hand components of the upper extremity FMA and the ROIs thought to be involved in motor recovery, shown in Table 2. There were significant negative correlations between synergy expression in the arm and contralesional RetST (Figure 5A), indicating that individuals with the most synergy expression had the highest FA in this region. There was a trend of a negative correlation between synergy expression and FA of the contralesional CP, contralesional SMA white matter and body of the corpus callosum, and no significant correlations between synergy expression and FA of ipsilesional CP.

Figure 3.4
Average contralesional reticulospinal tract (RetST) fractional anisotropy (FA) for severe stroke group (0.415), moderate stroke group (0.363), and control group (0.393). Severely impaired individuals show significantly greater FA (p = 0.0045) than moderately impaired individuals. SD bars are shown. 95% confidence intervals were severe [0.39, 0.43], moderate [0.34, 0.38], and control [0.37, 0.41].

Correlation analysis within stroke

A correlation analysis was performed for the synergy and hand components of the upper extremity FMA and the ROIs thought to be involved in motor recovery, shown in Table 2. There were significant negative correlations between synergy expression in the arm and contralesional RetST (Figure 5A), indicating that individuals with the most synergy expression had the highest FA in this region. There was a trend of a negative correlation between synergy expression and FA of the contralesional CP, contralesional SMA white matter and body of the corpus callosum, and no significant correlations between synergy expression and FA of ipsilesional CP,
ipsilesional RetST, bilateral RubST, contralesional M1 or contralesional PM areas (Table 2).

There were no significant correlations between FA in ipsilesional M1, PM and SMA white matter and impairment severity. Additionally, since there was a significant correlation between synergy and hand FMA components, we cannot consider the results independent, but related as the significance patterns of correlations across brain regions demonstrate in Table 3.2.

There was a significant correlation between hand impairment scores and FA in contralesional RetST (Figure 5B) and ipsilesional RubST (Figure 5C). There was a trend of negative correlation between hand impairment and FA of the contralesional CP. No significant correlations were found between hand scores and FA in bilateral CP, ipsilesional RetST, contralesional RubST, contralesional M1, PM, SMA white matter, or corpus callosum.
Correlations between impairment and brainstem white matter microstructure. Upper extremity (UE) synergy expression is correlated with (A) fractional anisotropy (FA) in contralesional (CL) reticulospinal tract (RetST) (95% CI [−0.83, −0.19]). UE hand impairment is correlated with (B) FA in CL RetST (95% CI [−0.83, −0.20]) and (C) FA in ipsilesional (IL) rubrospinal tract (RubST) (95% CI [−0.82, −0.17]).

Discussion

Summary of Results

Our results support previous reports of decreased FA and increased AD and RD in white matter of motor regions, corpus callosum and ipsilesional cerebral peduncle post-stroke compared to healthy controls (Lindenberg et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015), a pattern that reflects
chronic white matter degeneration. We extend these findings by showing that in chronic hemiparetic stroke, microstructure in the contralesional RetST was related to both synergy and hand impairment, and microstructure in the ipsilesional RubST was related to hand impairment. Individuals with the most severe synergy driven impairment had higher FA in contralesional RetST when compared to moderately impaired individuals, a pattern which reflects plastic remodeling in healthy controls (Scholz et al., 2009). Our findings also show that the ipsilesional RubST may play a role in the expression of hand impairment in more impaired individuals with chronic stroke and emphasize the importance of including brainstem morphology into models of neural reorganization post-stroke.

**Specific changes in brainstem white matter in chronic hemiparetic stroke**

**The contralesional RetST**

Previous studies have used diffusion MRI to show that decreased FA in lesioned CST and corpus callosum are sensitive to impairment post-stroke (Chen & Schlaug, 2013; Li et al., 2015). In the present study, we included the brainstem and identified a region in the contralesional RetST, which showed increased FA in the most severely impaired individuals (Figure 4), a difference primarily driven by a decrease in RD, shown to be related to myelination in animal models (Song et al., 2003).

Impairment dependent differences within stroke have been described in previous studies. For example, in moderately impaired individuals, shoulder abduction reduced reaching distance and voluntary hand opening, but in severely impaired individuals performing the same task, while lifting the arm, reaching became almost impossible and grasping forces were generated at
the hand (Sukal et al., 2007; Ellis et al., 2016; Lan, 2017). This finding could be indicative of damage-dependent reorganization in the most severely impaired individuals, who may need to rely more heavily on contralesional RetST to elicit movement, albeit synergistic and dysfunctional in nature, post-stroke.

Initial work characterizing the RetST focused on its role in gross movements such as locomotion (Mori et al., 2001), and postural stability (Schepens et al., 2008); however, recent studies in primates show that the RetST makes mono- and di-synaptic connections to motor neurons controlling muscles of the forearm (Riddle et al., 2009). Interneurons involved in controlling the hand often receive convergent information from both CST and RetST (Riddle & Baker, 2010), and stimulating the RetST elicits excitatory post-synaptic potentials in hand muscles (Baker, 2011). Historically, it has been assumed that the CST almost exclusively controls the hand, but anatomical evidence in primates suggests a more nuanced model of forearm and hand control in which RetST contributes less selective input, and CST provides much stronger, more fractionated and precise commands (Miller & Dewald, 2012).

Including the RetST contributions in our understanding of hand control could help inform what happens when there is significant stroke-related damage to the CST. Stimulation of the reticular formation in a non-human primate produces ipsilateral elbow, wrist and finger flexor and shoulder abductor activation (Davidson & Buford, 2006), reflecting the role of the RetST in simultaneously influencing multiple motor neurons and mirroring the flexion synergy pattern observed in humans post-stroke. Additionally, following a CST lesion in primates, RetST connections to both forearm and hand muscles selectively strengthen (Zaaimi et al., 2012). If the CST is damaged, the contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathway may possess the properties...
required to help drive motor neurons and interneurons controlling the forearm in the spinal cord, but this could come at the cost of independent control of individual joints. This anatomical and physiological evidence supports the hypothesis that the RetST is a potential pathway that could explain the development of the flexion synergy post-stroke.

The ipsilesional RubST

In non-human primates, the magnocellular subdivision of the red nucleus gives rise to the RubST, which decussates and descends down to the contralateral spinal cord. Cells in the red nucleus receive input from primary motor cortex (Humphrey et al., 1984). In the absence of the CST, animals with a lesioned RubST lose the ability to grasp (Lawrence & Kuypers, 1968b), and output from the RubST has been shown to strengthen after unilateral CST lesion (Belhaj-Saif & Cheney, 2000). Despite this evidence from non-human primates, it has been argued that humans do not have a significant RubST descending from the midbrain (Nathan & Smith, 1955); however, a new tractography study has been able to trace this pathway in vivo (Meola et al., 2016). Other recent DTI studies in human stroke individuals show a gradual increase in FA in ipsilesional red nucleus during stroke recovery (Takenobu et al., 2014), and increased FA bilaterally in red nucleus when compared to controls, which is correlated with worse clinical outcomes (Ruber et al., 2012). We build on these findings by demonstrating that microstructural integrity of the ipsilesional RubST is significantly related to hand impairment. This is anatomically consistent with animal evidence, which supports a role for RubST in contralateral hand-grip. Following a loss of CST, the RubST may provide an additional means to preserve finger flexion, particularly for individuals with the most severe upper extremity impairments.
Additional regions sensitive to motor impairment post-stroke

We observed a trend of negative correlations between upper extremity synergy expression and FA in contralesional CP, corpus callosum, and white matter projections from contralesional SMA. Non-human primate work has demonstrated that, of the three cortical motor areas (M1, PM, SMA), direct stimulation of premotor regions (PM, SMA) can result in ipsilateral activity in proximal muscles of the upper extremity, with significantly more responses following SMA stimulation (Montgomery et al., 2013). The latency of these responses suggested that they were more likely to be polysynaptic, and anterograde tracers showed a direct projection from SMA to labeled reticulospinal cells (Montgomery, 2013). TMS studies support the presence of upregulated polysynaptic pathways ipsilateral to the paretic limb in humans post-stroke (Schwerin et al., 2008; Schwerin et al., 2011). The contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathway may serve as an alternative, indirect route to access motoneurons post-stroke, particularly in the most severely impaired individuals; however, our results suggest that white matter diffusion properties in SMA are not as sensitive to impairment severity as diffusion properties in the brainstem.

Surprisingly, FA of the ipsilesional cerebral peduncle, containing the CST, was not sensitive to either arm synergy or hand related impairment. However, there has not been an established consensus in previous works regarding the ipsilesional CST and impairment severity (Stinear et al., 2007; Schaechter et al., 2009; Globas et al., 2011; Qiu et al., 2011; Borich et al., 2012; Song et al., 2012; Chen & Schlaug, 2013; Puig et al., 2013). Some studies tested whether FA values along the CST relate to functional recovery during an intervention (Stinear et al., 2007; Globas et al., 2011; Lindenberg et al., 2012; Puig et al., 2013). In contrast, our study
focused on moderately to severely impaired chronic individuals and synergy severity in the upper limb. Another study showed that greater FA asymmetry in the internal capsule was associated with poorer upper limb function, but only for individuals who did not demonstrate motor evoked potentials (MEPs) using transcranial magnetic stimulation. In patients with MEPs, FA asymmetry had no predictive power for clinical score (Stinear et al., 2007), showing the relation to FA asymmetry was only present in a subset of patients studied. Another study found an insignificant trend between FA in the CST and baseline motor deficits, and these values did not predict the response to unilateral arm training (Globas et al., 2011).

Some studies have shown a relationship between FA of the CST and motor skill in chronic stroke (Schaechter et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2011). These studies had key differences such as using a clinical test that measures finger function (Schaechter et al., 2009), including lesioned voxels (Qiu et al., 2011), and focusing on individuals with good recovery (Wen et al., 2016). However, a number of studies support our findings, in that they did not find any significant relationship between FA in the ipsilesional CST and motor impairment (Borich et al., 2012; Song et al., 2012; Chen & Schlaug, 2013). The exact role of microstructural status of the ipsilesional CST plays in long-term impairment in chronic stroke individuals remains unclear. This further emphasizes the importance of understanding the role of all descending motor pathways in relation to recovery, or lack thereof, after stroke.

**Interpreting diffusion property changes**

FA is sensitive to neural microstructural architecture, but cannot identify the precise biological sources of diffusion changes. By including other diffusion metrics, such as AD and
RD, we can more specifically describe observed microstructural changes in vivo. Animal models have suggested that AD may be sensitive to axonal damage, whereas RD has been linked to myelin integrity (Song et al., 2003). A recent study showed that FA was significantly correlated with myelin basic protein, suggesting that FA is also highly sensitive to myelination (Chang et al., 2017b).

In stroke when compared to control, we found decreased FA—driven by increases in AD and RD—in bilateral motor regions, body of the corpus callosum, and ipsilesional cerebral peduncle, which could be reflective of chronic degenerative changes (Concha et al., 2006); however, a decrease in FA after training has also been reported (Wan et al., 2014). Because we removed lesioned voxels from our TBSS analysis, we can be more confident that these results are reflective of changes in non-lesioned tissue, indicating that microstructure is altered even in normal appearing white matter. Since the majority of our participants sustained their strokes years, or even decades prior to the study, our findings demonstrate that these widespread microstructural changes are maintained long-term.

In contrast to the widespread decrease in FA, we identified a region in the extrapyramidal brainstem which shows increased FA in the most severely impaired individuals. This increase in FA was primarily driven by a decrease in RD, with AD showing no significant between-group difference, suggesting that the change could be myelin driven. This finding may be indicative of neuroplastic reorganization in individuals who rely more heavily on brainstem pathways to elicit movement post-stroke.

Limitations
Following stroke, impairment of motor function is one of the most serious consequences. Thus, we need better tools that can help us predict motor impairment in the paretic limb, such as more quantitative peripheral measurements of impairment. In addition, although we have carefully tried to identify and isolate specific pathways, the brainstem contains complex architecture and tightly packed structures. The crus cerebri of the cerebral peduncle contain corticobulbar pathways and pontine projections, and the dorsal pons includes other pathways such as the tectospinal tract. Future work will combine more quantitative metrics of impairment with higher resolution brainstem imaging, and include stroke groups with and without synergy expression to further elucidate the mechanisms underlying abnormal joint torque coupling post-stroke.

**Conclusion**

Our findings demonstrate that different neural regions may serve as potential backup systems, depending on the level of motor impairment post-stroke. Previous studies, using diffusion MRI, have focused on the role that the CST and the corpus callosum play in recovery, but the microstructural properties of brainstem motor pathways, specifically the corticoreticulospinal and corticorubrospinal systems, have not been studied separately *in vivo* in chronic hemiparetic stroke. Our results highlight the importance of including the brainstem motor pathways in models of neural reorganization. They provide potential new research-relevant biomarkers, which are sensitive to synergy and hand related motor impairments in chronic hemiparetic stroke. Higher resolution diffusion imaging and detailed atlases will be instrumental in better defining the basic anatomy and connectivity of the human brainstem and determining how it is affected by neural injury. Our study demonstrates the complex,
heterogeneous patterns of morphological neural changes as a function of motor impairment level, and emphasizes the need for understanding which systems are spared or reorganized after stroke. The current findings provide a framework for the future exploration of the effect of anti-synergy interventions (Ellis et al., 2009a; Ellis et al., 2009b) that may promote the maximal utilization of spared corticospinal resources in the lesioned hemisphere. This may lead to largely avoiding or reversing structural and functional changes to indirect contralateral motor pathways, thus minimizing the devastating effects of the flexion synergy on functional use of the paretic arm after stroke.

Abstract

Our previous work used diffusion imaging to demonstrate that white matter microstructure in contralesional reticulospinal pathways is altered in severely impaired stroke individuals when compared with moderately impaired stroke individuals and controls. Here we aimed to determine whether functional connectivity during rest and cortical gray matter density changes were also present in chronic stroke individuals. We find that resting state functional connectivity between the contralesional brainstem and contralesional motor cortex is increased in chronic, hemiparetic stroke. Additionally, we find that gray matter density in contralesional motor cortex and white matter microstructure in contralesional corticofugal pathways is increased in the most severely impaired individuals. We therefore provide evidence for structural and functional reorganizational changes after stroke-induced neural damage, specifically in contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathways in the most severely impaired individuals.

Introduction

Neural plasticity in sensorimotor cortical regions and remaining corticospinal fibers have been the primary focus of neuroimaging research following damage to neural circuitry, as in the case of a unilateral brain injury such as stroke. In contrast, neuroplastic changes at the level of the brainstem, more specifically the bulbospinal pathways, have only received limited attention. Previous work indicates that white matter changes in the brainstem may be a sensitive biomarker
of neural plasticity following unilateral brain injury (Owen et al., 2017). Therefore, we expand on this idea by exploring how brainstem motor pathways are functionally connected with the cortex by non-invasively studying the structural and functional characteristics of contralesional corticobulbospinal pathways in chronic hemiparetic stroke.

After stroke, the brain can compensate for neural tissue damage by reorganizing its surviving networks, a process that has been linked to degree of functional recovery. Specifically, neuroimaging studies have shown that after stroke, movements of the affected limb are associated with increased neural activity in both the lesioned and non-lesioned hemisphere (Ward et al., 2003a; Grefkes et al., 2008), with poorly recovered individuals more likely to recruit contralesional motor cortex (Ward et al., 2003b; Calautti et al., 2007). Despite robust evidence of increased contralesional neural activity during movement post-stroke (Rehme et al., 2012), it remains unclear precisely how this contralesional cortical activity contributes to functional use of the paretic upper limb. One hypothesis is that following a reduction of corticofugal input from the lesioned hemisphere, there is increased dependence on contralesional motor cortex to access bulbospinal pathways, such as the reticulospinal tract.

Such changes in brain networks can be indirectly detected by measuring spontaneous, low-frequency fluctuations in fMRI signals in the absence of a task. Correlations between signals in distinct brain regions when the subject is at rest, or resting-state functional connectivity (rsFC), can characterize motor networks, which would be active during movement (Biswal et al., 1995). Because of the absence of an active task, this approach makes it possible to characterize motor networks in stroke individuals who may not be capable of performing a motor task.
Previous neuroimaging studies have described stroke-induced structural and functional changes in cortical regions, but give little attention to brainstem microstructure and functional organization. To date, it remains unknown whether rsFC to the brainstem is altered in chronic stroke. Therefore, we used a multi-modal neuroimaging approach to quantify structural and functional connectivity with the brainstem reticular formation in chronic stroke individuals compared with controls. Since patterns of rsFC can reflect functional organization of the brain, we predicted that individuals with stroke would show altered rsFC between contralesional cortex and brainstem regions that give rise to bulbospinal pathways, a pattern reflective of increased dependence upon these pathways after stroke-induced tissue damage. Studying brainstem motor networks in stroke can help us better understand their role in humans, in vivo, in both health and disease.

Here we identified significant rsFC and structural changes in chronic, hemiparetic stroke individuals (n=20) compared with healthy, age-matched controls (n=16). Importantly, contralesional gray matter density reflected the severity of motor impairment. These findings extend our previous report of altered white matter integrity in the bulbospinal pathways in stroke (Owen et al., 2017) by demonstrating that rsFC with the brainstem is altered in a pattern that supports increased dependence on contralesional corticobulbospinal pathways in chronic, hemiparetic stroke.

Methods

Participants

24 moderately to severely impaired stroke individuals (age=58.66±8.24 years), and 16 age-matched healthy controls (age=60.2±7.79 years) without known neurological abnormalities
were included in the study. Stroke subjects sustained a unilateral brain lesion at least four months prior to participation in the study.

Inclusion criteria for stroke individuals were as follows: 1) paresis confined to one side, with motor impairment of the upper limb, 2) participants with an overall Upper Extremity FMA score between 0 and 50 out of 66 (0-20=severe; 21-50=moderate (Velozo & Woodbury, 2011)), 3) absence of severe cognitive or affective dysfunction, 4) absence of severe concurrent medical problems. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Northwestern University; all subjects provided a written informed consent.

Clinical Assessment

Upper extremity motor impairment was assessed in the paretic limb in stroke individuals using the Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA), a stroke-specific, performance-based motor impairment index, which measures loss of independent joint function, stretch reflex hyper-excitability and altered sensation (Fugl-Meyer et al., 1975). It is one of the most widely used clinical scales of motor impairment post-stroke (Gladstone et al., 2002). All assessments were performed by one licensed physical therapist to ensure consistency. Higher values indicated less impairment (range: 11 to 50 out of a maximum score of 66).

Data Acquisition

MRI scans were performed at Northwestern University’s Center for Translation Imaging on a 3 Tesla Siemens Prisma scanner with a 64-channel head coil. Resting state functional data, based on the blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal, were acquired (TR=679ms,
TE=22ms, flip angle=47°, 80 slices with slice thickness of 2mm, voxel size 2mm x 2mm x 2mm, covering the whole brain and brainstem, 1110 volumes collected), lasting 13 minutes. Participants were instructed to keep their eyes open, stay relaxed and let their minds wander during the scan.

Structural T1-weighted scans were acquired using an MPRAGE sequence (TR=2.3s, TE=2.94ms, field of view=256mm x 256mm) producing an isotropic voxel resolution of 1 mm x 1mm x 1mm and lasting 10 minutes.

Diffusion weighted images were collected from all subjects using spin-echo echo-planar imaging (TR=5s, TW=85ms, matrix size=150x150, FOV=225x225mm, slice thickness=1.5mm, interslice gap=0mm, number of slices=120) producing an isotropic voxel resolution of 1.5x1.5x1.5mm³ and lasting 5 minutes. The sequence consisted of diffusion weighting of 1000s/mm² in 60 different directions and 8 scans with no diffusion weighting (b=0s/mm²).

Functional data analysis

Preprocessing was carried out using Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI) software (afni.nimh.nih.gov). Data from 4 stroke participants were discarded from the resting state fMRI analyses due to excessive head movements (framewise displacement (FD) > 0.5). T1 images underwent brain extraction and segmentation into individual white matter, gray matter and CSF components. Brainstem regions were removed from the white matter mask, so that signals were not regressed out at later processing stages. Images were then non-linearly registered to a standard MNI 2mm brain template image.
Functional data underwent motion-based scrubbing/censoring with a framewise displacement threshold of .5 and DVARS value of 80, bandpass filtering between .01Hz and .1Hz and spatial smoothing using a Gaussian kernel of 4mm full width at half maximum. The T1 image was then aligned to the functional data, and mean CSF/white matter signals were extracted and regressed out. Boundary-Based Registration (Greve & Fischl, 2009) was used to align functional data to structural images. Structural images were then transformed to standard MNI space and the warp fields produced were applied to the functional statistical summary images.

A seed-based functional connectivity approach was used with defined seeds at the level of the caudal pons in the reticular formation in the left and right hemispheres. The averaged time-course of the voxels within each seed was tested for correlation with each of all other voxel time-courses of the brain. Pearson correlation coefficient maps were produced and then converted to z-values using Fisher’s correction to improve normality. For stroke individuals with lesions in the left hemisphere, maps were flipped so that group analysis compared all contralesional hemispheres in the left hemisphere.

Statistical analysis was conducted using FMBIRB software library (FSL) (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). A voxel-wise general linear model (GLM) was implemented to test differences between the 3 groups (severely impaired, moderately impaired, control). Permutation testing was applied to the z-maps using 5,000 permutations with threshold-free cluster enhancement to correct for multiple comparisons. A threshold of p<0.05 was applied to the identified clusters.
**Diffusion MRI data analysis**

The diffusion-weighted images were first brain-extracted using the BET toolbox in FSL. The data were then denoised using an estimate of the noise variance in CSF signal intensity of the right ventricle (Aja-Fernandez *et al.*, 2008) and Rician noise corrected (Ingo *et al.*, 2014). The data were corrected for motion and eddy currents by co-registering diffusion weighted images to the image acquired with $b=0\,\text{s/mm}^2$ using the FLIRT toolbox in FSL. The motion correction transformation matrix was applied to the diffusion gradient directions to rotate them according to the registration algorithm. The pre-processed diffusion weighted data were fitted to a tensor on a voxel-wise basis using DTIFIT in the FSL Diffusion Toolbox (Behrens *et al.*, 2003).

For those individuals with lesions in the left hemisphere, FA maps were flipped so that all subjects had lesions in the right hemisphere and group analysis compared all contralesional hemispheres in the left hemisphere. FA maps were first linearly and then non-linearly registered to the FMRIB58_FA in Montreal Neurological Institute’s (MNI) standard space. A mean FA image was then created from all individual FA images, and used to generate a common group skeleton. A threshold was applied at 0.2 to minimize potential white matter / gray matter partial volume effects. Finally, each FA image was projected onto the common group skeleton for subsequent statistical analysis in the brainstem white matter.

In order to determine voxel-wise statistics between severely impaired stroke, moderately impaired stroke and healthy control groups, permutation testing was applied to the 4D skeletonized FA in the brainstem. Using RANDOMISE in FSL, 5,000 permutations with
threshold-free cluster enhancement were performed to correct for multiple comparisons (Winkler et al., 2014).

**Voxel-based morphometry Analysis**

Anatomical T1 scans were analyzed using FSL voxel-based-morphometry (VBM) (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FSLVBM; Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom) (Ashburner & Friston, 2000; Whitwell, 2009) in FSL (Smith et al., 2004). For those individuals with lesions in the left hemispheres, T1 images were flipped so that all subjects had lesions in the right hemisphere. The T1 images were then brain-extracted using the Brain Extraction Tool and segmented into gray matter (GM), white matter and cerebrospinal fluid using the FAST4 tool. The gray matter images were affine-registered to the gray matter ICBM-152 template, concatenated and then averaged to create a study-specific GM template. Individual GM images in native space were then non-linearly registered to this template using FNIRT. A voxel-wise General Linear Model was applied with Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement (Winkler et al., 2014) to detect changes in gray matter density between control, severely impaired and moderately impaired groups.

**Results**

**Increased brainstem functional connectivity in stroke**

Animal and human studies have demonstrated a direct, ipsilateral cortico-reticular projection from motor cortex to the reticular formation (Keizer & Kuypers, 1989; Jang & Seo, 2014, 2015; Fregosi et al., 2017a), which could become increasingly important after stroke. We investigated functional connectivity between the origin of the reticulospinal tract in the brainstem
and contralesional motor cortex using a seed-based approach. Two seed-regions were defined in the left and right reticular formation (RF) in the pons, where part of the reticulospinal tract originates. Resting state functional connectivity was assessed between each seed region and contralesional cortex (dominant hemisphere in controls) in stroke individuals compared with controls.

**Table 4.1**
Significant clusters of voxels that showed higher functional connectivity with contralesional reticular formation in stroke when compared to controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical Parametric Map</th>
<th>Voxels</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>Left primary motor cortex/primary sensory cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>Left supplementary motor area/pre-supplementary area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Left premotor area (ventral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Left premotor area (dorsal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Left premotor area (ventral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Left premotor area (ventral)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clusters of increased connectivity to the contralesional RF seed-region were identified in contralesional S1, M1, SMA, preSMA and PM cortical regions, listed in Table 4.1 and displayed on standard MNI brain in Figure 4.1. Increased connectivity to the ipsilesional RF seed-region was observed in a small cluster in contralesional PM cortex. For these results, there were no significant differences between moderately and severely impaired stroke groups. For both seed-regions, there were no voxels in which the control group had greater connectivity than the stroke group.
The descending motor pathways, including the corticospinal tract, project from motor cortex and travel down through the ventral brainstem. Diffusion imaging can investigate microstructural tissue properties within these descending corticofugal pathways in the brainstem using fractional anisotropy (FA), a measurement thought to reflect myelination (Chang et al., 2017a), but can also be sensitive to fiber density, fiber diameter, and membrane permeability (Jones et al., 2013).

Both severely and moderately impaired stroke groups showed decreased FA in ipsilesional corticofugal pathways when compared to age-matched controls (p<0.0001), a pattern reflective of chronic white matter degeneration in the lesioned hemisphere. These results are displayed in Figure 4.2.
In contrast, severely impaired stroke individuals showed increased FA in contralesional corticofugal pathways when compared to moderately impaired (p=0.018) and control (p=0.0009) groups. There was no significant difference in FA between moderately impaired and control groups (p=0.69). These results are displayed in Figure 4.3. Together, these findings indicate that stroke participants with the most severe motor impairments in the upper extremity have decreased FA in ipsilesional corticofugal pathways and increased FA in contralesional
corticofugal pathways, a pattern reflective of reorganizational neural changes in brainstem white matter.

Altered gray matter density in stroke

Since we found altered brainstem resting state functional connectivity with the contralesional motor cortex in stroke compared to control, we tested whether there were any subsequent gray matter density changes that would reflect structural reorganization in stroke. We used a voxel-based morphometry approach to test whether there were group differences in gray matter density in the contralesional hemisphere.
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Figure 4.3
Severely impaired stroke individuals showed significantly increased fractional anisotropy (FA) within contralesional (CL) corticofugal pathways when compared to both moderately impaired stroke and control groups.
Voxels on the boundary between primary motor cortex (M1) and premotor cortex (PM) showed significantly higher gray matter density in the most severely impaired stroke individuals when compared with the moderately impaired individuals (p=0.0023) and control groups (p=0.0272). There was no significant difference in gray matter density between moderately impaired and control groups (p=0.36). These results are displayed in Figure 4.4.

**Figure 4.4**
Severely impaired stroke individuals showed significantly increased gray matter (GM) density in primary motor (M1) and premotor (PM) cortex when compared to moderately impaired stroke and control groups.

A correlation analysis performed between this cluster in M1/PM and the Fugl-Meyer assessment showed a significant negative correlation between gray matter density and
impairment severity ($r=0.58$, $p=0.0075$). This result shows that stroke participants with the most severe impairment also demonstrate the highest gray matter density in M1/PM cortex.

**Discussion**

**Summary of results**

The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether resting state functional connectivity was altered in chronic, hemiparetic stroke when compared to healthy age-matched controls. Additionally, we sought to determine whether white and gray matter structural changes accompanied any functional changes. We found both functional and structural changes in stroke compared to controls, with the most pronounced differences present in severely impaired individuals.

**Increased brainstem functional connectivity in stroke**

For the first time, we show that resting state functional connectivity between contralesional motor cortex and brainstem structures is altered in chronic hemiparetic stroke. We found increased resting state functional connectivity between contralesional reticular formation and contralesional sensorimotor cortex (S1, M1, PM, SMA) in individuals with chronic stroke when compared to healthy age-matched control individuals.

It is clear that lesions can cause state changes in spontaneous functional architecture of the brain at rest. Although the exact relationship between rsFC and underlying neural activity is not fully understood, evidence suggests that resting-state networks reflect clusters of brain regions that coactivate during the performance of sensorimotor tasks (Fox & Raichle, 2007), and that the slow resting-state signal fluctuations are directly related to the same frequency range fluctuations
in the power of fast (gamma range) local field potentials (Leopold & Maier, 2012; Thiel & Vahdat, 2015).

Many previous studies have used rsFC to assess the health of brain networks in a wide range of disease states, with implications for prognosis and recovery. For example, in poorly recovered stroke patients, motor function correlated with the degree of decreased interhemispheric functional connectivity (Chen & Schlaug, 2013; Urbin et al., 2014), and more specifically, patients with relatively poor hand function showed reduced interhemispheric M1-M1 connectivity (Carter et al., 2010). Additionally, both intensive upper extremity rehabilitative training (Wittenberg et al., 2016) and the use of interventional transcranial direct stimulation (tDCS) (Lefebvre et al., 2017) have the ability to alter resting state networks. Without intervention, these networks seem to stabilize around 5 weeks post-stroke (Nijboer et al., 2017).

Although many studies have shown altered rsFC in stroke, they have predominantly focused on cortico-cortical interactions and a few subcortical regions such as the thalamus and cerebellum (Park et al., 2011). Despite work suggesting that bulbospinal pathways, such as the reticulospinal tract, could be important following stroke-induced tissue damage (Lawrence & Kuypers, 1968b, 1968a; Dewald et al., 1995; Ellis et al., 2012; Zaaimi et al., 2012; Owen et al., 2017), rsFC with the brainstem has not previously been investigated.

Animal and human studies have demonstrated a direct, ipsilateral cortico-reticular projection from motor cortex to the reticular formation (Keizer & Kuypers, 1989; Jang & Seo, 2014, 2015; Fregosi et al., 2017a), providing a potential neuroanatomical pathway connecting contralesional motor cortex with brainstem regions and subsequently, through descending bulbospinal pathways, motor neurons in the spinal cord. Evidence from healthy controls suggests
that neuroplastic changes that occur during a motor learning paradigm display a highly reliable linear relationship with behavioral measures (Vahdat et al., 2011), suggesting a direct link between rsFC and behavioral change. Based on this evidence, it is possible that the increased rsFC between contralesional motor cortex and reticular formation in stroke could reflect an increased reliance on contralesional cortico-creticular pathways to elicit movement in the paretic limb. While we have identified increased rsFC in chronic stroke individuals, more work is needed to further elucidate the time course of these changes from the acute phase to the chronic phase following stroke.

**Altered white matter microstructure in stroke**

In addition to altered rsFC in chronic stroke, we found significantly decreased FA in ipsilesional descending corticofugal tracts in both severely and moderately impaired individuals when compared to age-matched controls. This is consistent with white matter degeneration following neural injury (Concha et al., 2006), and supports the findings of many other studies.

In contrast to this decrease in FA, we also observed an increase in FA in the contralesional corticofugal tracts in the severely impaired group when compared to moderately impaired and control groups. Diffusion imaging studies in healthy controls have shown that increased FA in white matter is associated with practice of a motor task (Scholz et al., 2009), suggesting that increased use can increase FA. This evidence from healthy controls indicates that individuals with the most severe upper extremity impairment could be relying more heavily on contralesional corticofugal pathways to elicit movement of the paretic limb, albeit synergistic and dysfunctional in nature.
**Altered gray matter density in stroke**

We found that the severely impaired stroke group had significantly increased gray matter density in contralesional motor cortex (M1/PM cortex) when compared to moderately impaired and control groups. Gray matter plasticity has been associated with motor skill learning in healthy controls, showing increased gray matter density in days or weeks following training (Scholz *et al.*, 2009; Taubert *et al.*, 2010). These changes have been attributed to potential remodeling of dendritic spines and axonal terminals, glial hypertrophy, and synaptogenesis (Draganski & May, 2008; Dayan & Cohen, 2011). This evidence indicates that the increased gray matter density in M1/PM cortex could be a result of a more exclusive use of the contralesional M1/PM cortices in the most severely impaired group and subsequent neural plasticity detectable by MR approaches. Future work is needed to elucidate the time course of these changes and the exact underlying biology.

**Contralesional structural changes in the most severely impaired individuals**

Surprisingly, we did not find significant differences in contralesional white matter or gray matter density when comparing the moderately impaired stroke group with the control group. One possible explanation could be that the moderately impaired group shows a much less pronounced difference when compared to the control group that would require larger numbers to produce any significant difference. Alternatively, it could indicate something unique in the severely impaired individuals. In measuring motor impairment through more quantitative means using robotics, we have observed that individuals with the lowest FMA score, on average, are
able to lift around 1.5 times their limb weight before involuntary flexion drive prevents a voluntary reach forward. In contrast, the moderately impaired individuals are able to lift, on average, 2.5 times their limb weight before this occurs. Thus, it is possible that individuals with the most severe impairment could be using the contralesional cortico- reticular pathways for almost all movements, whereas the individuals with more moderate levels of impairment can perform many motor tasks without recruiting these contralesional pathways. More quantitative measurements of impairment are needed to further elucidate precisely how these altered neuroanatomic correlates contribute to loss of independent joint control post-stroke in future work.

**Conclusion**

Advances in modern neuroscience have shown that the plasticity of the brain extends beyond the previously accepted critical period during development. Plastic reorganization is conditional on the specific needs of the individual and can result in both beneficial or maladaptive consequences (Pascual-Leone *et al.*, 2005). Here we have demonstrated plastic reorganization in chronic hemiparetic stroke individuals, but future work will be necessary to address the specific timing of these structural and functional changes from the acute phase, as well as test whether interventions are possible to prevent these changes from developing.
5. Changes in Fractional Anisotropy and Functional Connectivity are Associated with a Loss of Independent Joint Control in Chronic Hemiparetic Stroke

Introduction

The leading hypothesis for a loss of independent joint control, resulting from the expression of the flexor synergy (i.e. abnormal coupling between shoulder abduction with elbow, wrist and finger flexion) in the paretic upper limb (Dewald & Beer, 2001) following stroke-induced damage to descending corticofugal pathways is an increased reliance on contralesional corticoreticulospinal motor pathways (Dewald et al., 1995; McMorland et al., 2015). There is indirect evidence to support this hypothesis in humans from work using TMS (Schwerin et al., 2008; Schwerin et al., 2011) and following manipulation of sensory input (McPherson et al., 2008; Krainak et al., 2011; Ellis et al., 2012). However, direct neuroimaging evidence for changes in brain structure and function, explaining the prevalence of the flexion synergy in the paretic arm, has not yet been provided in humans post-stroke. Therefore, in the present study, we employ multi-modal structural and functional neuroimaging along with rehabilitation robotics (Ellis et al., 2016) to evaluate the relationship between neural integrity/connectivity and the loss of independent joint control. Using this approach, we aimed to determine which neural substrates were sensitive to quantitative peripheral measurements of flexion synergy in the paretic upper limb as a function of shoulder abduction loading, and to test the hypothesis that an increased reliance on contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathways is related to loss of independent joint control in chronic stroke.
Evidence from animal and human studies suggests that the contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathway can contribute to flexion synergy after stroke. In a primate model, the reticulospinal tract makes mono- and di-synaptic connections with motor neurons controlling the forearm (Riddle et al., 2009), and stimulation of the reticular formation produces wrist flexor/elbow flexor/shoulder abductor activation in the ipsilateral limb (Davidson & Buford, 2006), mirroring the flexion synergy pattern observed in humans after stroke. Anterograde tracing shows a direct, ipsilateral projection from motor cortex to the reticular formation in macaques, predominantly from premotor and supplementary cortical regions (Fregosi et al., 2017b), and diffusion tractography can detect this pathway in humans (Jang & Seo, 2014). Furthermore, following stroke-induced damage, the contralesional reticulospinal tract can strengthen its connections to forearm muscles in primates (Zaaimi et al., 2012) and become upregulated in humans (Schwerin et al., 2008; Schwerin et al., 2011; Ellis et al., 2012), demonstrating its ability for compensatory plastic changes after neural injury. Although individuals may be able to rely on alternative pathways after stroke-induced neural damage, motor function may be limited to synergistic and dysfunctional movement patterns.

Such reorganizational structural changes can be measured: gray matter density from a T1 scan and white matter microstructure from a diffusion weighted scan. Functional changes can be indirectly detected by measuring spontaneous, low-frequency fluctuations in fMRI signals in the absence of a task. Correlations between signals in distinct brain regions when the subject is at rest, or resting-state functional connectivity (rsFC), can characterize motor networks, which would be active during movement (Biswal et al., 1995).
The impact of synergies on movement function is most commonly measured using the Fugl-Meyer Assessment (Fugl-Meyer et al., 1975) through observational analysis on an ordinal scale. The assessment scale is composed of movement tasks “within synergy,” “mixing synergy,” and “out of synergy” producing a generalized motor impairment score out of 66 points. However, flexion synergy impairment is progressively expressed as a function of drive to the shoulder abductors during shoulder/elbow tasks whether in a static (Beer et al., 1999; Dewald & Beer, 2001; Ellis et al., 2007) or dynamic (Beer et al., 2004; Sukal et al., 2007; Ellis et al., 2008) environment. Therefore, in order to quantify the magnitude or severity of flexion synergy, one must directly measure its impact on reaching function. This can be accomplished using a robotic device capable of quantifying the precise shoulder abduction loading threshold at which flexion synergy arrests reaching function. Concurrent evaluation of neural structure and functional connectivity along with the expression of flexion synergy affords the opportunity to establish a direct link between the neural mechanism and the motor impairment. Evidence is provided suggesting a direct and significant relationship between changes in neural structure and function with the expression of flexion synergy. A better understanding of the neural mechanisms associated with loss of independent joint control in stroke informs clinical practice and will facilitate the development of more targeted interventions for stroke rehabilitation.

**Materials and methods**

**Participants**

24 moderately to severely impaired stroke individuals (age=58.66±8.24 years), and 16 age-matched healthy controls (age=60.2±7.79 years) without known neurological abnormalities
were included in the study. Stroke subjects sustained a unilateral brain lesion at least four months prior to participation in the study.

Inclusion criteria for individuals with stroke were as follows: 1) paresis confined to one side, with motor impairment of the upper limb, 2) participants with an overall Upper Extremity FMA score between 12 and 45 out of 66, 3) ability to follow a three step command 4) absence of severe concurrent medical problems (self-report). The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Northwestern University; all subjects provided a written informed consent.

Imaging data acquisition

MRI scans were performed at Northwestern University’s Center for Translation Imaging on a 3 Tesla Siemens Prisma scanner with a 64-channel head coil. Structural T1-weighted scans were acquired using an MPRAGE sequence (TR=2.3s, TE=2.94ms, field of view=256mm x 256mm) producing an isotropic voxel resolution of 1 mm x 1mm x 1mm and lasting 10 minutes. Diffusion weighted images were collected from all subjects using spin-echo echo-planar imaging (TR=5s, TW=85ms, matrix size=150x150, FOV=225x225mm, slice thickness=1.5mm, interslice gap=0mm, number of slices=120) producing an isotropic voxel resolution of 1.5x1.5x1.5mm³ and lasting 5 minutes. The sequence consisted of diffusion weighting of 1000s/mm² in 60 different directions and 8 scans with no diffusion weighting (b=0s/mm²).

Resting state functional data, based on the blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal, were acquired (TR=679ms, TE=22ms, flip angle=47°, 80 slices with slice thickness of 2mm, voxel size 2mm x 2mm x 2mm, covering the whole brain and brainstem, 1110 volumes
collected), lasting 13 minutes. Participants were instructed to keep their eyes open, stay relaxed and let their minds wander during the scan.

**Diffusion MRI data analysis**

The diffusion-weighted images were first brain-extracted using the BET toolbox in FSL. The data were then denoised using an estimate of the noise variance in CSF signal intensity of the right ventricle (Aja-Fernandez et al., 2008) and Rician noise corrected (Ingo et al., 2014). The data were corrected for motion and eddy currents by co-registering diffusion weighted images to the image acquired with b=0s/mm² using the FLIRT toolbox in FSL. The motion correction transformation matrix was applied to the diffusion gradient directions to rotate them according to the registration algorithm. The pre-processed diffusion weighted data were fitted to a tensor on a voxel-wise basis using DTIFIT in the FSL Diffusion Toolbox (Behrens et al., 2003).

For those individuals with lesions in the left hemisphere, FA maps were flipped so that all subjects had lesions in the right hemisphere and group analysis compared all contralesional hemispheres in the left hemisphere. FA maps were first linearly and then non-linearly registered to the FMRIB58_FA in Montreal Neurological Institute’s (MNI) standard space. A mean FA image was then created from all individual FA images, and used to generate a common group skeleton. A threshold was applied at 0.2 to minimize potential white matter / gray matter partial volume effects. Finally, each FA image was projected onto the common group skeleton for subsequent statistical analysis in the brainstem white matter.
A voxel-wise general linear model (GLM) was implemented to determine if any voxels were sensitive to impairment severity. Using RANDOMISE in FSL, 5,000 permutations with threshold-free cluster enhancement were performed (Winkler et al., 2014). A threshold of p<0.05 was considered significant.

**Voxel-based morphometry analysis**

Anatomical T1 scans were analyzed using FSL voxel-based-morphometry (VBM) (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FSLVBM; Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom) (Ashburner & Friston, 2000; Whitwell, 2009) in FSL (Smith et al., 2004). For those individuals with lesions in the left hemispheres, T1 images were flipped so that all subjects had lesions in the right hemisphere. The T1 images were then brain-extracted using the Brain Extraction Tool and segmented into gray matter (GM), white matter and cerebrospinal fluid using the FAST4 tool. The gray matter images were affine-registered to the gray matter ICBM-152 template, concatenated and then averaged to create a study-specific GM template. Individual GM images in native space were then non-linearly registered to this template using FNIRT. A voxel-wise General Linear Model was applied with Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement (Winkler et al., 2014) to determine if any voxels were sensitive to impairment severity. A threshold of p<0.01 was considered significant.

**Functional data analysis**

Preprocessing was carried out using customized Northwestern pipelines (Song, 2015). Data from 4 stroke participants were discarded from the resting state fMRI analyses due to
excessive head movements (framewise displacement (FD) > 0.5). T1 images underwent brain extraction and segmentation into individual white matter, gray matter and CSF components. Brainstem regions were removed from the white matter mask, so that signals were not regressed out at later processing stages. Images were then non-linearly registered to a standard MNI 2mm brain template image.

Functional data underwent motion-based scrubbing/censoring with a framewise displacement threshold of .5 and DVARS value of 80, bandpass filtering between .01Hz and .1Hz and spatial smoothing using a Gaussian kernel of 4mm full width at half maximum. The T1 image was then aligned to the functional data, and mean CSF/white matter signals were extracted and regressed out. Boundary-Based Registration (Greve & Fischl, 2009) was used to align functional data to structural images. Structural images were then transformed to standard MNI space and the warp fields produced were applied to the functional statistical summary images.

A seed-based functional connectivity approach was used with defined seeds at the level of the caudal pons in the reticular formation in the left and right hemispheres. The averaged time-course of the voxels within each seed was tested for correlation with each of all other voxel time-courses of the brain. Pearson correlation coefficient maps were produced and then converted to z-values using Fisher’s correction to improve normality. For stroke individuals with lesions in the left hemisphere, maps were flipped so that group analysis compared all contralesional hemispheres in the left hemisphere.

Statistical analysis was conducted using FMRIB software library (FSL) (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). A voxel-wise general linear model (GLM) was implemented
determine if any voxels were sensitive to impairment severity. Permutation testing was applied to the z-maps using 5,000 permutations with threshold-free cluster enhancement. A threshold of $p<0.01$ was considered significant.

**Quantification of synergy expression using robotics**

The robotic protocol consisted of two main components. A more detailed version of the protocol was previously described (Ellis et al., 2016). First, the participant’s maximum shoulder abduction force was obtained to normalize abduction loading across participants and minimize effects of strength/weakness, which could confound results. The participant was seated and strapped in a Biodex3 chair with their arm resting in a forearm-hand orthosis attached to the ACT$^{3D}$, shown in Figure 5.1. The participant’s arm was placed into a “home position”, which aligned the shoulder 90° to the line of gravity and the upper arm 40° anterior to the anatomical frontal plane, with the elbow angle placed at 70°. This standardized home position was used to generate a graphic representation of the arm displayed on a screen in front of the participant. Multiple repetitions of maximum shoulder abduction were completed until 3 values that were within 10% of one another were obtained (Dewald & Beer, 2001).

Second, the subject’s maximum reaching abduction load (MRAL$_{near}$) was determined (see Ellis et al 2016). The MRAL$_{near}$ measures the magnitude of loss of independent joint control or flexion synergy impairment. It determines the synergy take-over threshold where the participant...
is no longer able to overcome flexion synergy and initiate a reaching motion. A binary decision tree algorithm is implemented to rapidly identify the maximum abduction load at which the participant can no longer successfully initiate reaching. With their arm supported on the haptic table, participants are instructed to start with their arm just behind the close target (hand approximated with the torso). Participants were instructed to first lift the arm up and then attempt to initiate reaching toward the close target. Subjects were given up to 3 attempts to successfully complete the task. If they were successful, the next load was increased by 50% of the remaining load range, if not, the next load was decreased by 50%. The MRAL_{near} value can be determined in 5 steps achieving a measurement resolution to the nearest 3.125%. In the results section MRAL_{near} is referred to as “independent joint control” for greater clarity. A lower value signifies greater loss of independent joint control, whereas a higher value signifies better performance on the robotic task.

**Results**

**White matter sensitive to synergy severity**

A voxel-wise GLM analysis on FA maps was carried out to determine whether any brainstem voxels were sensitive to a quantitative measurement of independent joint control. We found significant voxels both positively and negatively related to independent joint control in stroke. A positive correlation was found in voxels primarily clustered in ipsilesional cerebral peduncle, shown in Figure 5.2. Average FA values within these significant clusters were extracted and plotted against independent joint control. Individuals with the greatest losses of independent joint control showed the lowest FA values in voxels predominantly clustered in ipsilesional corticofugal pathways.
A negative correlation was found in voxels primarily in contralesional ventral pontine region where corticofugal tracts descend, shown in Figure 5.3. Average FA values within these significant clusters were extracted and plotted against independent joint control. Individuals with the greatest losses of independent joint control showed the highest FA in voxels predominantly

Figure 5.3
White matter voxels that significantly correlate positively with independent joint control. (A) Sagittal section of MNI standard brain showing the brainstem level of slice shown in (B). Horizontal section showing the midbrain cerebral peduncle with voxels positively correlated with independent joint control shown in red-yellow (spectrum signifying p-value: red=0.05; yellow=0). (C) Average FA values extracted from GLM results and plotted against loss of independent joint control (r=0.8, p<0.0001).

Figure 5.2
White matter voxels that significantly correlate negatively with independent joint control. (A) Sagittal section of MNI brain showing the brainstem level of slice shown in (B). Horizontal section showing the ventral pons with voxels negatively correlated with independent joint control shown in dark blue-light blue (spectrum signifying p-value: dark blue=0.05; light blue=0). (C) Average FA values extracted from GLM results and plotted against loss of independent joint control (r=-0.68, p=0.0003).
clustered in contralesional corticofugal tracts.

We hypothesized that FA in the ipsilesional cerebral peduncle would relate to FA in the contralesional cerebral peduncle. There was a weak but significant correlation between the two (r=-0.42, p=0.037).

**Gray matter sensitive to synergy severity**

A voxel-wise GLM analysis using the gray matter density maps was carried out to determine whether any voxels in the contralesional sensorimotor cortex gray matter were sensitive to a quantitative measurement of independent joint control. We observed a cluster in contralesional premotor cortex that showed a negative correlation with independent joint control. Individuals with the greatest losses in independent joint control, or the strongest expression of flexion synergy at the elbow during shoulder abduction, had the highest gray matter density
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**Figure 5.4**
Gray matter voxels that significantly correlate negatively with independent joint control. (A) Sagittal section of MNI standard brain showing the significant cluster in contralesional premotor cortex, shown in blue. (B) Average FA values were extracted from GLM results and plotted against loss of independent joint control (p=-0.707, p=0.0001).
values in a cluster of voxels in contralesional premotor cortex. Average gray matter density values were extracted and plotted against independent joint control in Figure 5.4. No voxels showed a significant positive correlation with independent joint control in the contralesional sensorimotor cortex.

**rsFC between contralesional RF and PM cortex sensitive to synergy severity**

A voxel-wise GLM analysis using the resting state functional connectivity map between contralesional reticular formation in the brainstem and contralesional motor cortex was carried out to test whether any voxels’ rsFC values were sensitive to loss of independent joint control. We observed a cluster in contralesional premotor cortex that showed a negative correlation with independent joint control. In other words, individuals who had greater rsFC between contralesional reticular formation and premotor cortex had more severe expression of flexion

**Figure 5.5**
Voxels in contralesional sensorimotor whose functional connectivity with contralesional reticular formation significantly correlate negatively with independent joint control. (A) Sagittal section of MNI standard brain showing the significant cluster in contralesional premotor cortex, shown in blue. (B) Average rsFC r values were extracted from GLM results and plotted against loss of independent joint control (p=-0.84, p<0.0001).
synergy, or greater loss of independent joint control. Average rsFC r-values in the premotor cluster were extracted and plotted against independent joint control in Figure 5.5. No voxels in the FC map between contralesional reticular formation and contralesional sensorimotor cortex showed a significant positive correlation with independent joint control.

**Gray matter correlates with rsFC**

We found that resting state functional connectivity between contralesional reticular formation and contralesional premotor cortex and gray matter density in contralesional premotor cortex were both negatively correlated with independent joint control in chronic stroke individuals. Therefore, we tested to see whether the resting state functional connectivity average
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**Figure 5.6**

Resting state functional connectivity between contralesional reticular formation and contralesional premotor cortex significantly correlates with gray matter (GM) density contralesional premotor (PM) cortex (r=0.612, p=0.0041).
r-value in premotor cortex was correlated with the gray matter density average in premotor cortex. We found a significant, positive correlation between the two values (r=0.612, p=0.0041), shown in Figure 5.6.

**Discussion**

**Summary of results**

In this study, we aimed to determine which, if any, neural substrates were specifically sensitive to a quantitative peripheral measurement of the flexion synergy, at the paretic arm as a function of shoulder abduction loading, and to test the hypothesis that an increased reliance on contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathways is related to loss of independent joint control in chronic stroke individuals. We predicted that if this were the case, we would see both structural and functional neuroanatomical correlates. We found specific relationships between independent joint control and white matter, gray matter and contralesional resting state cortical-brainstem functional connectivity that support our hypothesis.

**Degeneration of ipsilesional pathways post-stroke**

Previously we showed that in both moderately and severely impaired chronic stroke individuals, FA in ipsilesional white matter is decreased compared to healthy age-matched controls (Figure 4.2); however, we did not see a significant difference between the two stroke groups separated based on FMA alone. In the present study, we found a close correlation between a specific subset of voxels within the ipsilesional cerebral peduncle, which contain descending corticospinal and corticobulbar pathways, and loss of independent joint control (Figure 5.1). Individuals with greater loss of independent joint control showed the lowest FA
values in this region, indicative of the greatest losses in ipsilesional motor pathways. Such a drop in FA is consistent with white matter degeneration following neural injury (Concha et al., 2006). This finding indicates that more quantitative metrics of upper limb motor impairment are needed to allow for the determination of relationships between motor impairment measurements and changes in anatomical substrates obtained from structural MR neuroimaging techniques.

**Plasticity within contralesional pathways post-stroke**

Previously we showed that the most severely impaired stroke individuals exhibit increased FA in contralesional corticofugal pathways when compared to both moderately impaired individuals and healthy age-matched controls (Figure 4.3). In the present study, we expand upon this finding by demonstrating a correlation between a quantitative measurement of independent joint control and FA in these contralesional corticofugal pathways. Individuals with the greatest losses in independent joint control showed the highest FA values in these pathways.

Diffusion imaging studies in healthy controls demonstrate that practice of a motor task can increase FA within related white matter pathways (Scholz et al., 2009), showing that increased use can increase FA. Therefore, our finding that individuals with the most severe expressions of flexion synergy also have the highest FA values in contralesional corticofugal pathways could indicate neuroplastic reorganization indicative of increased use in order to elicit movement in the paretic limb, albeit dysfunctional in nature.

Surprisingly, the ipsilesional and contralesional corticofugal FA values only loosely correlated, aligning with previous work suggesting synergistic but independent contributions from corticofugal sub-components to motor impairment post-stroke (Schulz et al., 2017).
**Gray matter sensitive to synergy severity**

Previously we showed that the most severely impaired stroke individuals exhibit increased gray matter density in contralesional motor cortex when compared to both moderately impaired individuals and healthy age-matched controls (Figure 4.4). In the present study, we found a correlation between gray matter density in the contralesional hemisphere and loss of independent joint control. Individuals with the most severe expression of flexion synergy exhibited the highest gray matter density in contralesional premotor cortex.

This finding supports previous work that shows contralesional premotor cortex can contribute to movement after stroke, particularly in the most severely impaired individuals. Disrupting contralesional premotor activity using TMS slows finger movements in stroke participants when compared with controls (Johansen-Berg et al., 2002), showing that premotor cortical activity actively contributes to movement production in severely impaired individuals. Additionally, MEPs produced by TMS of the ipsilateral (contralesional) cortex are most common in moderately to severely impaired individuals, and the delayed timing of the response, compared to the corticospinal tract, suggests an oligosynaptic corticobulbospinal pathway (Schwerin et al., 2008). We build on these findings by showing that contralesional premotor cortex, in particular, is sensitive to loss of independent joint control in chronic stroke.

*rsFC between contralesional RF and PM cortex is sensitive to synergy severity*

Previously we showed that in stroke, when compared to healthy age-matched controls, resting state functional connectivity between contralesional reticular formation and contralesional motor cortex is increased (Figure 4.1). In this study, we expand upon those
findings by demonstrating that resting state functional connectivity between contralesional reticular formation and contralesional premotor cortex, in particular, is sensitive to independent joint control. Those individuals with the greatest expression of flexion synergy have the highest functional connectivity between these two regions.

Although the exact relationship between rsFC and underlying neural activity is not fully understood, evidence suggests that resting-state networks reflect clusters of brain regions that coactivate during the performance of sensorimotor tasks (Fox & Raichle, 2007). Based on this evidence, it is possible that the increased functional connectivity between contralesional reticular formation and contralesional premotor cortex could reflect increased use during movement. For those individuals that can barely lift their limb weight before the synergy expression overrides their voluntary drive to reach outwards, these pathways could become activated much more easily.

Although many studies have shown altered rsFC in stroke, they have predominantly focused on cortico-cortical interactions and a few subcortical regions such as the thalamus and cerebellum (Park et al., 2011). Despite work suggesting that bulbospinal pathways, such as the reticulospinal tract, could be important following stroke-induced tissue damage (Lawrence & Kuypers, 1968b, 1968a; Dewald et al., 1995; Ellis et al., 2012; Zaaimi et al., 2012; Owen et al., 2017), rsFC with the brainstem has not previously been investigated.

Animal and human studies have demonstrated a direct, ipsilateral cortico-reticular projection from motor cortex to the reticular formation (Keizer & Kuypers, 1989; Jang & Seo, 2014, 2015; Fregosi et al., 2017a), providing a potential neuroanatomical pathway connecting contralesional motor cortex with brainstem regions. Anterograde tracing demonstrated a dense,
ipsilateral corticobulbar projection from premotor cortex to several nuclei of the brainstem reticular formation, the origins of the reticulospinal tract. This corticobulbar projection originating in premotor cortex was more dense than the projection originating from primary motor cortex (Fregosi et al., 2017b). In this study, we demonstrate for the first time, that there is a direct link between flexion synergy severity and increased rsFC between the origin of the reticulospinal tract and contralesional premotor cortex, suggesting that this connection is present in humans and could become upregulated post-stroke.

**Limitations**

The present study uses MR imaging techniques to assess neural mechanism behind abnormal joint torque coupling in chronic stroke individuals. We show correlations between neural structure, functional connectivity and a quantitative measurement of flexion synergy in stroke. However, we cannot definitively answer the question of causation because we cannot directly measure brain activity during movement with these MR techniques (mainly for reasons relating to motion artifacts caused by movement in the scanner). Previous studies have used techniques, such as EEG, to measure cortical activity during movements of the paretic limb, but this approach cannot measure brainstem structure or function. We interpret our results as an indication of long-term increased use of contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathways in the most severely impaired individuals, but future work should combine these various imaging modalities to further explain causation of loss of independent joint control post-stroke.
Conclusion

We present measurements of white matter, gray matter, and functional connectivity that are associated with a loss of independent joint control in chronic stroke. By using a multi-modal imaging approach combined with quantitative measurements of flexion synergy, we were able to identify specific anatomical regions associated with this impairment. A better understanding of the neural mechanisms underlying abnormal flexion synergies post-stroke will help develop more effective science-based rehabilitative interventions, aimed specifically at decreasing abnormal coupling of the joints in the paretic limb.
6. Conclusion

There are as many as 1.1 million hemiparetic stroke survivors in the United States who exhibit movement impairments. One fundamental example is the loss of independent joint control that results from an involuntary synergic coupling between shoulder abduction and elbow, wrist and finger flexion. It can also be described as a dynamic and task-dependent reduction of joint-individuation related to proximal joint utilization. It still remains unclear which specific neuroanatomical substrates and processes underlie the development of this debilitating motor impairment.

This work tested the hypothesis that following stroke-induced damage to ipsilesional descending motor pathways, contralesional corticobulbospinal pathways, such as the corticoreticulospinal tract, become upregulated. There is indirect evidence to support this hypothesis from previous work done in humans and animals, but a direct evaluation of neural structure and function in the human brainstem in chronic stroke had not been carried out.

The work presented in this dissertation examines the role that the brainstem plays in loss of independent joint control in chronic hemiparetic stroke. Neuroanatomical substrates of motor deficits following stroke have predominantly been studied in cortical regions and focused on white matter integrity within the descending corticospinal tract. While much work has been done to document how ipsilesional corticospinal tract integrity and volume are important components in determining overall recovery potential, this alone cannot fully explain the specific emergence of involuntary abnormal joint coupling after stroke.

This work is the first concurrent evaluation of the neuroimaging substrates in the brainstem and a metric of independent joint control in chronic stroke. More specifically, a multi-modal
neuroimaging approach combined with quantitative robotics is used to explore the role the brainstem plays in the emergence of involuntary joint coupling in stroke.

Comparison of white matter microstructural properties between stroke and control groups shows decreased integrity in ipsilesional cerebral peduncle, bilateral corona radiata and the body of the corpus callosum in stroke, suggesting widespread degenerative changes even in areas distant from the lesion. In contrast, white matter integrity in the contralesional reticulospinal tract is the highest in those individuals with the most severe impairments compared to those with moderate impairments and controls. Microstructural integrity within the contralesional reticulospinal tract correlates significantly with both upper extremity synergy severity and hand impairment. Additionally, microstructural integrity in the ipsilesional rubrospinal tract significantly correlated with hand impairment severity. These findings show that individuals with the most severe motor impairments in the upper extremity after stroke have the highest white matter integrity in bulbospinal pathways and suggest that these pathways are being used more to elicit movement in the paretic limb.

In addition to these white matter structural differences, stroke subjects display increased resting state functional connectivity between contralesional brainstem and sensorimotor cortex when compared to the control subjects. Specifically, the functional connectivity between contralesional reticular formation and contralesional premotor cortex is significantly correlated with loss of independent joint control in stroke: those individuals with greater losses show higher functional connectivity. This finding reflects what was observed in white matter microstructure in the contralesional reticulospinal tract, suggesting that individuals with the most severe loss of independent joint control are relying more on contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathways.
The quantitative robotic metric shows that individuals that were classified in the severely impaired group had involuntary flexor drive override voluntary extension at 1.5 times limb weight, on average. In contrast, individuals classified in the moderately impaired group could lift an average of 2.5 times their limb weight before their voluntary drive was outweighed by the involuntary synergistic drive. This evidence suggests that the most severely impaired stroke group can perform few, if any, movements that don't activate the contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathways, which contribute to the involuntary flexion synergy, whereas the moderate group may activate them much less frequently, able to use other ipsilesional pathways in conjunction. This difference in recruitment could be what is reflected in the anatomical white matter and gray matter patterns observed in Chapter 4.

By combining neuroimaging to quantify changes in the brain with engineering approaches to study motor behavior, this dissertation demonstrates specific structural and functional changes in the corticoreticulospinal system. Future work will further elucidate the role of the rubrospinal pathway and distinguish between magno and parvocellular subdivisions of the red nucleus. Diffusion imaging evidence shows that white matter in the rubrospinal pathway was highest in individuals with the most severe hand impairment. Preliminary evidence from resting state functional connectivity analysis also suggests that functional connectivity with the red nucleus is altered in stroke. Future work will further describe these changes and separate the specific contributions each brainstem area and pathway can make towards movement after stroke.

Additionally, the present body of work analyzed 24 individuals in the chronic stage of stroke. Many of the subjects were years or even decades out from their stroke. It will be important for future work to characterize how these shifts occur over time from the acute phase
into the chronic, and to determine whether any interventions might alter the progression. It is possible that early therapeutic or stimulation interventions could change the progression. Given that the human brain remains plastic throughout life to varying degrees, it is also possible, as shown in earlier work (Ellis et al., 2009a; Ellis et al., 2009b) that interventions, even in the chronic phase, do have some effect.

In individuals with the most severe impairments the brain is not able to depend on normal pathways and the subsequent use of these “backup pathways” is the best output possible. Previous work has demonstrated that shifting cortical activity back to the ipsilesional motor cortex results in improved motor control of the hand (Wilkins, 2017). Future work is needed to determine what kinds of interventions are optimal to allow the brain to go back to using what is left in the lesioned hemisphere.

To date, TMS and tDCS studies aimed at enhancing motor recovery after stroke have had mixed results. It is possible that the models used as a basis for this research, focusing mainly on cortical regions, need to be updated to include the brainstem. With better neuroimaging techniques, a deeper understanding of the neural changes that occur in each individual brain is possible. With this knowledge, individualized models can be created and used to design personalized and multi-modal interventions that could include physical therapy, therapeutics, and stimulation. This approach may also be able to target specific types impairments, such as loss of independent joint control, which are hindering the patient the most.

In conclusion, the research presented in this dissertation demonstrates that after stroke there are degenerative changes in the lesioned hemisphere that expand well beyond the lesioned tissue as well as neuroplastic reorganizational structural and functional changes in the contralesional
brainstem and associated cortical regions. This neural rewiring correlates with a quantitative metric of independent joint control, suggesting that increased use of contralesional corticoreticulospinal pathways contributes to flexion synergy severity. It emphasizes the need to address the role that the brainstem plays after stroke and shows that the brainstem should be included into newer models of plastic reorganization of the motor system after brain injury. This better understanding of the neural mechanisms associated with loss of independent joint control in stroke informs clinical practice and can facilitate the development of more targeted and effective interventions for stroke rehabilitations.
References


Dum RP, Strick PL. Motor areas in the frontal lobe of the primate. Physiol Behav 2002; 77: 677-682.


Ellis MD, Sukal-Moulton T, Dewald JP. Progressive shoulder abduction loading is a crucial element of arm rehabilitation in chronic stroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2009a; 23: 862-869.


Fox MD, Raichle ME. Spontaneous fluctuations in brain activity observed with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Nat Rev Neurosci 2007; 8: 700-711.


Hooover JE, Strick PL. Multiple output channels in the basal ganglia. Science 1993; 259: 819-821.


Montgomery LR. (2013). Role of the Reticulospinal and Corticoreticular Systems for the Control of Reaching in Non Human Primates. (Degree of Doctor of Philosophy), Ohio State University.


Robust web-based parallel-optimized minimal pre-processing and analyzing pipeline for fMRI big data. OHBM 2015.


Appendix
## ARM MOTOR FUGL-MEYER

### Session

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Date: MM/DD/YY</th>
<th>Assessment Time: HH:MM (Military Time)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Arm Motor Fugl-Meyer Motor Assessment Manual will be used

### I. Reflex Activity

- **0** = no reflex activity elicited, **2** = reflex activity can be elicited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Score 0</th>
<th>Score 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biceps Flexor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps Extensor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### II. Dynamic Movement Within Flexor Synergy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Score 0</th>
<th>Score 1</th>
<th>Score 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow Flexion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Dynamic Movement Within Extensor Synergy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Score 0</th>
<th>Score 1</th>
<th>Score 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adduction/Internal Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow Extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. Movement Mixing Flexion and Extension Synergies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Score 0</th>
<th>Score 1</th>
<th>Score 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hand to lumber spine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder flexion to 90° with elbow at 90° and forearm in neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronation/Supination with elbow at 90°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This form was created by Michael D. Ellis, PT, DPT (mdellis4@gmail.com) for documentation purposes using the "AMFM Manual.pdf."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>PT</th>
<th>Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V. Movement With Little Or No Synergy Dependence</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction to 90°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder flexion 90° to 180° with elbow at 0° and forearm in neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronation/Supination with elbow at 0° and shoulder between 30° and 90° of flexion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Normal Reflex Activity</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test deep tendon reflexes of the biceps, long finger flexors, and triceps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Wrist Stability and Mobility</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist stability with wrist in 15° extension and elbow at 90°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist mobility with the elbow at 90°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist stability with wrist in 15° extension and elbow at 0° and shoulder between 30° and 90° of flexion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist mobility with the elbow at 0° and the shoulder between 30° and 90° of flexion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Hand</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass flexion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasp A (hook)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasp B (thumb adduction)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasp C (1st and 2nd digit pinnate approximation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasp D (1st and 2nd digit cylindrical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasp E (spherical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**IX. Coordination/Speed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tremor</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysmetria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition of Speed Time**

- Speed: Left ______ sec  Right ______ sec

0 = a time difference of 6.00 and greater  
1 = a time difference between 2.00 and 5.99 seconds  
2 = a time difference of less than 2.00 seconds

**ARM MOTOR TOTAL SCORE**  
---/66 points

**COMMENTS:**

-  
-  
-  
-  
-  
-  
-  

---

**CLINICIAN SIGNATURE AND PRINTED NAME**

**DATE**

---

This form was created by Michael B. Ellis, PT, DPT (m.ellis8@gmail.com) for documentation purposes using the “AMFM Manual.pdf.”